

Submitter: William Bland  
On Behalf Of: Reason  
Committee: Senate Committee On Rules  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB4145

If it is dangerous for people in Oregon to have more than 10 rounds of ammo in their firearm, then why is anyone allowed more?

If a justification for anyone having more than 10 can be established for professional safety, then could that reasoning also be used for personal safety?

If these reasonings can be applied to State actors on duty, off duty, and in retirement, then why could they not also apply to trained, certified, approved citizens.

Must we all become agents of the state to be afforded the same protections as this newly established higher-rights class?

Please explain why there is no planned civilian track parity for attaining rights granted to a new State power aligned class.