

Submitter: Eric Sundholm
On Behalf Of:
Committee: Senate Committee On Rules
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB4145

I oppose HB4145.

There is no data supporting that these changes will save lives, or have any positive outcome.

There is data showing these changes are unconstitutional.

At the very least - recognize that there is no system in place to enforce or to provide the requested training.

Think of the first time buyer - it would be illegal to borrow a firearm for the training, and no state run agency will loan a firearm for the training. How will they proceed?

There is no system in place, and it appears that no effort is put into putting a system into place. Without that system - its just words on paper that turn regular citizens into criminals while doing nothing to stop violent offenses or criminal actions.

The fact the law enforcement has already publicly stated that this is unenforceable, and have no resources or means to change that should automatically stop the proposal. If something is not possible to do, why are we required to do it? Its shameful to think otherwise and force something through that doesn't have the support from all 3 branches of the government.

For any and all changes to the law - what is the problem statement? What are we trying to achieve? How does this proposed change actually achieve that? If there is data that supports both the problem statement, and the proposed change fixing the root cause, then the proposal is not a controversy. Today, we have a controversy because those basic requirements were not met.