

Submitter: Scott Phillips
On Behalf Of: Myself
Committee: Senate Committee On Rules
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB4145

I oppose Magazine Capacity Limits. I believe that barring legally owned magazines in public is wrong as this only punishes law abiding citizens. Criminal's don't care about laws and will do as they please. I believe there should be no fee to exercise a God given right under the constitution. Your permit process requires training before purchasing a firearm, how are you going to train to use a gun, if you don't own or possess one?

How are women and persecuted groups going to be able to purchase a firearm to protect themselves from being raped and assaulted if there is a 60 day delay in having a permit issued. How are economically poorer people going to be able to pay the permit fees, let alone the class fees and loss of income from missing work?

Why is there an exemption for police and corrections officers, but no similar provisions for former military? I trained with handguns and rifles in the military. Why would a retired cop or a corrections officer be allowed high capacity (standard capacity magazines) and a former military member would not?

CHL holders have already had classroom and live fire training, why would they need to take another class?

Nothing about this bill or measure 114 makes any sense. This modification should go to the voters, if and when the Oregon State Supreme Court makes a ruling on measure 114. This is underhanded and sneaky, and does nothing to make our communities safer. It just makes it more difficult for people who aren't criminals to defend themselves and their families.