

Submitter: Kathleen Katzenbach
On Behalf Of:
Committee: Joint Special Committee On Referendum Petition
2026-302
Measure, Appointment or
Topic: SB1599

I oppose SB 1599

The people have spoken. The day to vote is already established. There is no emergency that the people created. This manufactured emergency is from failed practices by failed oversight, or bad faith with an intent to defraud the people. One or the other cannot cause the voice of the people do not exist or heard. This very practice to disrupt, when the people have made known through proper protest, is our elected officials acting in bad faith. New dates for election is nothing more than a fraudulent deceptive practice being carried out in bad faith. This is nothing more than an act of fraud concealed behind plausible deniability. The people have spoken. The vote should be held on the established date that the constitution lays out. Anything outside of that is willfully acting outside of good faith.

There was NO (ZERO) protest in writing by any people protesting to vote in May. Therefore, there is no legitimate call for May to be the directive date. The May date was chosen outside of good faith (by not yielding to the will of the people), and is a deceptive practice pushed by a panicked governor who is not the one in charge. The people are in charge and they have spoken... unless you have forgotten your place. There was a lawful protest in writing that the vote be made in November. In all matters of law, how can you justifiably take the will of the people, who protested peacefully in writing and submitted it in writing, and manipulate the will of the people to serve your own purpose? Does that not amount to forcing the people to involuntary servitude to pay or render more debt to service your incompetent oversight? As the famous words that are so often used " let me be clear", there is a legitimate peaceful written protest submitted in writing that this vote be made on the November ballots. There is no other expression legitimately submitted during the timeframe allowed. Any person wanting to do otherwise had their free will to make it known during the appropriate time, and no other protest was made. This hearing is nothing more than an additional act of dishonor. Therefore moving the date to May is tantamount to treason or fraud minimum.