

Submitter: Grace Bell
On Behalf Of:
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB4088

I oppose HB 4088 as a woman and mother concerned with sex-based rights and child protection because of the following:

- *Conflation of Issues — The bill bundles broadly supported reproductive health care for females with experimental and potentially harmful "gender-affirming" treatments, diluting sex-based protections by prioritizing gender identity over biological reality.
- *Risks to Minors and Vulnerable Groups — It shields irreversible interventions that have weak long-term evidence, while non-cooperation clauses could block probes into regret or harm and favor ideology over child safeguarding.
- *Erosion of Sex-Based Rights — Provisions easing name/sex changes in the name of privacy could undermine single-sex spaces like women's shelters, prisons, and sports by enabling unchecked legal recognition of gender identity, contributing to the erasure of sex distinctions and compelled speech concerns.
- *Lack of Accountability and Evidence — Provider immunities risk protecting ideologically driven care without requiring rigorous informed consent or follow-up studies.
- *Broader Societal Impacts — The bill may fuel medical tourism from restrictive states, strain Oregon resources, and normalize practices rooted in sexism or homophobia.