

Submitter:

Hersey McBroom

On Behalf Of:

Committee:

House Committee On Judiciary

Measure, Appointment or Topic:

HB4145

Myself and the majority of my fellow rural Oregonians strongly oppose this measure in its entirety. This does nothing but restrict the rights of law abiding citizens whom have done nothing to deserve to have limitations of their rights. Also it's unfair to working middle and lower wage class Oregonians by causing financial struggles to exercise an inherent right. The clauses for former and or current military or law enforcement is also saying some people are not granted the same rights as others. It seems as though this is also unconstitutional and mirrors laws already being scrutinized in the courts, also why would you limit a judicial argument against this to only be heard in a more populous liberal area? That in its own should raise some red flags about this. And in the end what good are laws and regulations if criminals aren't following the law anyway. All this does is makes the non logical public and their puppet politicians feel better and perhaps garner some more votes and funding from outside sources. Why don't we try being harsher on criminals and upholding current laws rather than creating new ones that will only hurt the law abiding good citizens in our state