

Submitter: Joe Gregorio

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Judiciary

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB4145

MEASURE 114 BACK AS A YET ANOTHER RE-DO FIX AS BILL HB4145!! SUBMIT TESTIMONY AT THE LINK BELOW!! Submitting testimony really does help!! It gives the Repubs ground to push back from and lets the Dems (vomit) know we don't want their BS!!

This is the permit to purchase/over 10 round mag ban bill with even higher costs!! Plan on \$400 or more just to try to get a permit to even buy a gun!!

Let's flood these freedom hating gun grabbing Democrats with our opposition to their crap!!

<https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2026R1/Testimony/HJUD/HB/4145/2026-02-02-15-00?area=Measures>

Here are some example testimonies. Edit them some to make them your own!!!

=====

Version 1 — Short, Clean, Professional (Low Effort / High Volume)

Opposition Testimony – HB 4145 (2026)
Submitted to the Oregon Legislature

I oppose HB 4145.

HB 4145 places new burdens on law-abiding citizens while doing nothing meaningful to stop criminals, who by definition do not follow regulations. This bill will not reduce violent crime, but it will increase cost, legal risk, and barriers for ordinary Oregonians acting in good faith.

Equally troubling, the hearing for this bill did not appear on OLIS until last Monday morning, severely limiting public notice and participation. That kind of last-minute scheduling undermines trust in the legislative process.

For both substantive and procedural reasons, HB 4145 should be rejected.

Respectfully submitted,
[Name], [City]

=====

Version 2 — Process + Substance (Balanced, Persuasive)

Opposition to HB 4145

I strongly oppose HB 4145.

HB 4145 follows a familiar pattern: it regulates people who already obey the law while leaving criminal behavior untouched. Criminals do not comply with paperwork, fees, or new restrictions. As a result, this bill burdens lawful citizens without producing real public-safety benefits.

The process is also deeply concerning. The hearing was not posted on OLIS until last Monday morning, leaving many Oregonians without reasonable notice. Whether intentional or not, this suppresses public participation and creates the appearance of a procedural ambush.

If this bill were sound, it would not need to be rushed or hidden.

HB 4145 should be rejected.

[Name], [City / County]

=====

Version 3 — Sharper Policy Critique (Enforcement, Cost, Inequality)

Opposition Testimony – HB 4145

I oppose HB 4145.

HB 4145 relies on enforcement against law-abiding people, not criminals. Those who commit violent crimes will not comply with additional regulations. Enforcement will therefore focus on compliant citizens, producing paperwork violations rather than safety improvements.

The bill also diverts public resources—staff time, enforcement effort, and administrative cost—away from targeting repeat violent offenders and illegal activity. That is a poor use of limited state resources.

In addition, the burdens imposed by HB 4145 fall hardest on rural residents, lower-income Oregonians, and the elderly, who face greater costs, travel burdens, and

delays. Rights should not depend on income or geography.

Finally, the hearing was not listed on OLIS until last Monday morning, denying many citizens a fair opportunity to participate.

HB 4145 is ineffective, inequitable, and procedurally flawed. It should be rejected.

[Name], [City]

=====

Version 4 — Blunt but Still Record-Safe

Opposition to HB 4145

I oppose HB 4145.

HB 4145 does not target criminals. It targets people who follow the law. Criminals ignore regulations; only compliant citizens are affected.

The bill increases costs, paperwork, and legal risk for ordinary people while offering no credible mechanism to reduce violent crime. It is symbolic legislation, not effective public-safety policy.

Worse, the hearing was not posted on OLIS until last Monday morning, limiting notice and participation. That kind of