

Submitter:

Kristoffer Nicoll

On Behalf Of:

Committee:

House Committee On Judiciary

Measure, Appointment or Topic:

HB4145

Oregon House Bill 4145 (2026) focuses on its attempt to legislatively implement and expand Ballot Measure 114, which has faced significant legal challenges regarding its constitutionality.

I here by offer my testimony opposing the bill.....

Infringement of Constitutional Rights: The bill violates Article 1, Section 27 of the Oregon Constitution and the Second Amendment by creating barriers to lawful gun ownership.

Financial and Administrative Barriers: The bill increases permit fees by 130% (from \$65 to \$150) and doubles the processing window from 30 to 60 days, which critics say makes rights unaffordable and inaccessible.

Inequality Under the Law: A major point of contention is the sweeping exemption for active and retired law enforcement, allowing them to possess "large capacity" magazines for recreational use while prohibiting the same for civilians.

Lack of Due Process: I express concern that permits can be denied based on subjective judgment without a criminal conviction, violating the principle of being innocent until proven guilty.

Ineffectiveness Against Crime: I argue the law will only burden law-abiding citizens while criminals will continue to obtain firearms through illegal means.

Judicial Circumvention: This bill is an attempt to circumvent ongoing court rulings that have already stalled Measure 114.

I will not comply with any law that violates the second amendment which shall not be infringed.