

Submitter: Justin Cambridge

On Behalf Of:

Committee: Senate Committee On Rules

Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB1505

Chair Jama, Vice-Chair Starr, and Members of the Senate Interim Committee On Rules and Executive Appointments

It's my understanding that a forthcoming -1 amendment would remove long-term care and

senior service providers from the scope of this bill. The opposition set forth below is provided

based on that understanding.

I am writing in opposition to SB 1505 and the proposed -1 amendment, which would establish a

workforce standards board, and I respectfully urge you not to advance the bill.

1. This bill sets a concerning precedent

Even if long-term care providers are excluded, SB 1505 establishes a concerning precedent.

Once the Legislature approves the creation of a workforce standards board for one sector, it

becomes easier to expand the authority of that board or create additional boards in the

future.

This approach is not conducive to businesses and organizations that are already struggling

to meet growing demand while operating in an increasingly complex regulatory environment with constrained funding. Workforce standards boards drive higher operating

costs. Increased costs will further limit access to care for seniors and people with disabilities.

2. This is not a proven or effective policy approach

Proponents suggest workforce standards boards bring stakeholders together, yet there is

no clear example of a healthcare workforce standards board that has successfully achieved

that goal. In practice, these boards often create additional cost, confusion and division

rather than collaboration.

At a time when the Legislature is facing difficult budget decisions and considering reductions across state programs, dedicating resources to establish a new board is difficult

to justify. The Legislature already has the authority to address workforce policy and

could

instead make direct investments in the programs this board is intended to support.

If the goal is collaboration, an advisory structure would achieve that purpose without creating a new regulatory body, while ensuring final decisions remain with elected legislators, where accountability belongs.

3. This bill weakens transparency and accountability.

Oregonians deserve access to Oregon's established legislative due-process system.

They

deserve to understand how decisions are made and how to contact their elected representatives when concerns arise.

This bill shifts workforce decisions away from Oregon's existing legislative and governmental processes, which are transparent, constitutionally grounded, and widely

understood, into a new board structure without clear accountability to the public or the

individuals these programs serve.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the committee not to advance SB 1505 and the proposed -1

Amendment.

Sincerely,

Justin Cambridge