

Submitter: Michael Schlesinger  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB4145

Everything in this bill is unconstitutional and violates every citizens constitutional protected rights. The supreme court has already ruled on every aspect of this bill and have found all aspects of this bill is unconstitutional, why do we have to suffer thru this again, how many more tax payer dollars be wasted? The supreme court rulings of Heller, McDonald, Caetano and Bruen have all stated HB 4145 is illegal, unconstitutional and unenforceable. The Free Exercise Clause, 5A and the 24A prevent placing any obstacle, financially or physically in the way of 'we the people's' ability to exercise our constitutionally protected freedoms.

This bill will effectively shut down all gun shops. This will remove our ability to purchase a firearm to exercise these rights. removing our ability to obtain firearms to exercise our rights, an in effect creates a gun ban. violating the Heller and Bruen ruling that says 'an arm is anything that can be used for offense or defense, and any arm that is used for lawful purposes, and the instruments needed for them to operate, are protected arms! This means magazines that hold more than 10 rounds are protected and semi automatic rifles regardless of what they look like, are protected arms and untouchable under the law. the 14A states that no state shall pass any legislation that restricts or bans and individual right. The Bruen ruling told us that balancing tests based on what's best for the community is not legal in determining if a law is constitutional or not and that the law is aligned with the 'text, history, and tradition', nothing in HB 4145 meets this test.

The 27 words in the 2A don't tell 'we the people' can own, where we can keep them or how we can use them, they tell you the government you cannot interfere with our right to exercise that right.

This bill allows range officers to determine whether or not a person to will be able to exercise their rights freely or deny them their right, this bill also requires 'we the people' to ask our government permission to exercise our freedoms...in no free society is this acceptable or legal as determined by the 5A, 24A and the supreme court ruling Minneapolis Star Tribune v Commissioner (1989) determined what this bill is attempting to do is unconstitutional.

Why are we doing this? Currently, the state supreme court will issue a ruling soon that will initiate MZ 114 or terminate it, this is a waste of your time and our money, when you could be concentrating on more greater issues, lets let the state supreme court issue a ruling first!

There are no provisions for disable citizens, this will result in anyone who who cannot move about freely or without the help of equipment, prosthetic devices effectively removing the constitutional rights of those just because they are disabled!

This has established that the constitution and the bill of rights are the cornerstone of all our laws. The Oregon democrats and democrats across the nation love to tell us 'no one is above the law', but according to several articles in the constitution and several amendments in the bill of rights and numerous supreme court rulings...this bill violates every citizens fundamental individual freedoms. A right delayed is a right denied the time lines outlined are excessive and prevent us from freely exercising our rights, this is also unconstitutional. I have to ask, Members of this committee, do you feel you are above the law and do you believe you have the right to require we citizens to ask you if we can vote, speak freely, attend our church of choice, if you don't, how do you come to believe you have the right to require us to ask for your permission to exercise our constitutionally protected 2A right?