

Submitter: Jeffrey Clyde  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB4145

Hello,

I am writing you with a sincere and heartfelt request. HB 4145 needs to be stopped, along with Measure 114.

The Oregon Legislature is basically rewriting Measure 114 with HB 4145. That HB makes even more draconian changes. Measure 114 is the unpopular and unconstitutional measure that punishes non-criminals and makes no substantive change to underlying issues. Both of these continue to remove Rights enshrined in both State and Federal Constitutions.

Before anything else, this needs to be stated clearly:

\*\*HB 4145 creates a sweeping exemption allowing active and retired law enforcement to possess and use large capacity magazines including for off duty and recreational use.\*\*

This exemption is \*\*not limited to official duties\*\* and applies even after retirement. Civilians remain subject to the unconstitutional ban. Civilians which most likely have much more training than law enforcement in the use of firearms. I know this based on my own employment experiences with the laughable "qualification" proficiency tests required for law enforcement.

# 1. Broad law enforcement exemption (unconstitutional)

- \* Active and retired law enforcement officers are explicitly exempt from the large capacity magazine ban
- \* The exemption applies outside official duties including off duty and recreational use
- \* Civilian possession remains prohibited under the same law

# 2. Higher costs and longer delays (unconstitutional)

- \* Permit fees increase to \*\*up to \$150\*\* with renewals up to \$110
- \* Law enforcement is given \*\*60 days\*\* to approve or deny permits, up from 30

# 3. Subjective denial standard with bias risk (unconstitutional)

- \* Permits may be denied based on subjective law enforcement judgment, even

without a criminal conviction or objective standard.

\* Discretionary systems like this raise concerns about inconsistent application, implicit bias, and discrimination based on race, politics, disability, or unpopular viewpoints

#### # 4. Legal challenges restricted (unconstitutional)

\* Any lawsuit challenging this law must be filed \*\*only in Marion County\*\*, regardless of where the plaintiff lives

#### # 5. Emergency clause (absolutely outrageous)

\* The bill takes effect immediately upon the Governor's signature

Whether you supported Measure 114 or not, this is \*\*not a small technical fix.\*\* It materially changes who is exempt from the law, and how long approvals can take all without another vote of the public. The Rights of law abiding people keep getting diminished and outright removed. Please make your voice heard and stop this tragedy from unfolding.

Thank you for your efforts to maintain our Rights, not strip them away.

Jeffrey Clyde