

Submitter: Jason Sivey
On Behalf Of:
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3075

I write this letter in opposition of HB 3075. I am all for gun safety, community safety and keeping everyone safe. I don't believe measure 114, or now HB 3075 does this at all. The only thing they will do is make it more difficult for citizens of our great state of Oregon to purchase a firearm. It only takes away the constitutional rights of those in our Oregon wide community.

My first reason for opposition is the fact that there is no fiscal impact statement for the Bill. Measure 114 started off by requiring a "permit to purchase" that would cost 65\$. Now with HB 3075 it is looking to cost upwards of 150\$. This is an infringement of our rights, and shows that there is no idea as to the cost to actually implement this. The real victim will be all Oregonians that have to pay more in taxes to implement something that will simply take away our availability to purchase a firearm legally.

My second reason for opposition is that this bill does not in any way address the real reason for firearm violence. It only addresses the law abiding citizen that is going to go through the correct means to purchase a firearm. If we really want to curb gun violence, let's start with the issue. Criminals will find a way to get firearms that are not legal. They don't care, and they will always find a way to get what they want. We need to have more laws that have more intense punishment for these criminals that should not have firearms in the first place.

I live in rural Eastern Oregon. I have bought and possessed firearms for many years and went through all the legal means to do this, as well as securing the proper CHL. Measure 114 was passed with really only 6 counties, and by a margin of just over 1%. Some of those counties were also very close. Measure 114 was also written in a way that made it difficult to vote correctly. It seemed like a measure that would just curb violence, when the real text was much more complicated and not easy to understand. It was also not written well in the ballot. Why do just the 6 biggest counties in Oregon decide what's best for the whole State. For those that have not gone outside of Western Oregon, Eastern Oregon is a much different place. We hunt, fish, and carry protection when we leave for Western Oregon (Portland) because we never know how safe it will be, especially with objectively violent protests that happen somewhat often.

I will end this with the biggest fact that there has been zero fiscal impact statement made on this bill. It should surely be sent to the correct committee to determine this. In short, this bill does nothing to curb the true firearm violence we see by criminals,

and only makes it more difficult for true law abiding citizens to purchase firearms which is our constitutional right, and only increases the cost to do so. On top of that, there is absolutely no plan in place to issue permits to purchase firearms in our counties. As a citizen of this state I don't understand how we have come this far in attempting to strip our people of our rights. My suggestion is to make these laws applicable to the counties that voted to pass measure 114. The rest of Oregon, which by land mass is about 90% would be absolutely fine with these laws going into effect there.

Sincerely,