

Submitter:

Jason Jantzi

On Behalf Of:

Committee:

House Committee On Judiciary

Measure, Appointment or Topic:

HB3075

The four registered voters in our multiracial household oppose on its face this proposed bill. Oregon has a clear constitutional amendment in Article 1 section 27 allowing the ownership of firearms for self-defense. The clear intent of this proposed bill is to place additional restrictions upon citizens who are law abiding and deserve the ability to purchase readily available firearms that are in common use across the country. A permitting system and process on a constitutional right should on its face be deemed a nonstarter yet it seems that this concept is still being debated. What person would accept a permitting system to used to attend a church or print news? Why should we accept a permitting system for the exercise of the right to self defense? This concept is as repulsive as a poll tax or literacy requirements to vote and it would discourage people of color and other individuals in participating in their exercise of the right to self defense.

Our family of four registered voters urge a no vote.