

Submitter: Gary Weis  
On Behalf Of: Self  
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3075

I am opposed to HB 3075 because it is unconstitutional in its entirety under NYSRPA v Bruen. If a law implicates the 2nd Amendment it is then the government's duty to show historical (1792) analogues to modern regulations. SCOTUS decisions are controlling. Any interpretation of Oregon Article 1 section 27 must fit closely within the Bruen framework. I would offer Duncan v Bonta (remanded) September 22, 2023 as an excellent example of clear reasoning on detachable magazines.

Read between the lines of 9th Circuit Judge Vandyke's dissent on rehearing en banc of U.S. v Duarte no. 22-50048 7/17/2024. My interpretation-reading between the lines- is; there is a stench of rot extending from many of the 9th Circuit Judges down through the court system to the lowest levels of the state legislatures.

There is no doubt in my mind that the powers that be will pass this bill for the reason stated above. We all know that the real goal here is to stop all gun sales and to bankrupt FFLs. No other Constitutional right requires a permit (permission) to exercise that right, but this one requires a permit to get permission to exercise that right.

The city of Independence has a \$776,000.00 budget short fall, how are they going to be able to hire a permitting agent?

It is my hope that if you have a vote on this bill, you will vote no.