

Submitter: Jason Stuwe  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3075

HB 3075 brings me serious concerns for a number of reasons. I have listed 3 reasons below for which I am stating my opposition to HB3075.

First: section 22 of this bill requires that any challenges are heard in Marion county. I can't understand why this would be in there if not to circumvent the will of other courts. This seems disingenuous and creates a scenario in which the legislative branch is dictating how the judicial branch can operate. This section needs to be removed from this bill as it creates an unacceptable precedence, regardless of partisan leanings.

Second: section 11, subsection 2 makes it a crime to own, use, transfer, etc any large capacity magazine after December 8th, 2022. Presently it is possible to purchase these magazines, but if this bill passes, it will have been a crime to have legally purchased or used these components. This is incorrect and if this bill passes, it needs forward dated to a pre-determined date.

Third: This is a more sweeping concern: gun rights are a large part of Oregon's culture. We are fortunate to not have the issues of large cities or more populous states. The vast majority of Oregon is rural and as such, guns are not just for the range, but are used to defend livestock, and keep property. Per the second amendment, we can all agree that gun ownership is a right that we are blessed with in America. If the legislative branch works to diminish this right, it sets a precedent for other rights to be diminished and further regulated, which destroys the America that we all love.

I humbly ask you to consider the points that I have presented and oppose HB3075.