

Submitter: Kimberly Young
On Behalf Of: Law-abiding Oregonians and single moms
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3075

I oppose HB3075.

I am a law-abiding single mom with a teenage daughter who lives on the cusp of one of the highest crime areas in Bend, Oregon. I am my first line of defense when seconds count and the police are 3-15 minutes away.

HB3075 with the 60 day wait time denies timely defense in situations like domestic violence (and a waste of tax dollars).

The fee hikes burden the poor, making rights a luxury thus contradicting equality under the law. Converting a right into a privilege/license is unconstitutional (*Murdock v. Pennsylvania* 319 US 105). "if a state converts a right (liberty) into a privilege, the citizen can ignore the license and fee and engage in the right (liberty) with impunity. (*Shuttlesworth vs City of Birmingham Alabama*, 373 US 262).

Police officers carry standard-issue handguns and rifles with magazines holding 15 or 20 rounds—not because they seek overkill, but because they know survival hinges on having enough rounds to neutralize a threat, yet I am to neutralize my potential threat with 10.

Criminals, unbound by laws, will not surrender their high-capacity magazines and will continue to obtain guns unlawfully. HB3075 thus creates a disparity where law-abiding Oregonians—facing a home invasion or violent encounter—are left underpowered against lawbreakers.

This bill will probably land in front of SCOTUS and as seen in *NY v Bruen* 2022 and *McDonald v Chicago* 2010 will be ruled as unconstitutional.

I should not be stripped of protection under a misguided law that sacrifices my rights for an illusion of safety.