

101 South A Street, PO Box 155, Springfield, OR 97477 541-746-1651 | www.springfield-chamber.org

February 7, 2025

Senate Committee on Labor and Business Subject: Opposition to SB 916

Dear Chair Taylor, Vice-Chair Bonham, and Members of the Committee,

On behalf of the Springfield Area Chamber of Commerce, I write to express our strong opposition to SB 916. The Springfield Chamber represents a diverse network of businesses that drive our local and regional economy. This bill directly contradicts our core advocacy principles—economic competitiveness, workforce readiness, and a balanced tax system—by imposing unfair costs on employers and distorting the purpose of unemployment insurance.

Increased Financial Burden on Businesses and Public Employers

Oregon employers solely fund the UI system through payroll taxes. SB 916 would expand UI eligibility to striking workers, forcing businesses to subsidize labor disputes in which they may have no role in. This additional tax burden would hit small and mid-sized businesses hardest, further straining an already costly system and undermining job creation and retention.

Furthermore, public employers, already facing budget shortfalls across the state, would also struggle to absorb the increased financial strain. Unlike private businesses, public entities cannot easily adjust revenue streams, meaning higher costs could lead to service reductions, staffing cuts, or other unintended consequences that impact communities statewide.

Undermining Unemployment Insurance's Purpose

The UI system exists to support workers who lose employment through no fault of their own. Strikes are voluntary actions, often part of negotiations, and should not be funded by unrelated employers. Providing UI benefits in these cases could disrupt fair labor negotiations, forcing businesses into financial concessions they may not sustain, ultimately harming both employers and workers.

A Harmful Precedent for Oregon's Business and Economic Stability

SB 916 would set a troubling precedent by shifting the costs of private labor disputes onto the broader business and public employer community. The Springfield Chamber supports policies that promote economic growth and fiscal responsibility, not those that increase costs and instability for Oregon's employers. For these reasons, we urge the committee to oppose SB 916 and instead focus on policies that foster job creation, economic stability, and a fair tax system.

Thank you for your time and consideration. We welcome further discussion on this issue.

Sincerely,

V. Withlen

Vonnie Mikkelsen President and CEO Springfield Area Chamber of Commerce