

Submitter: Alex Childs  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: Senate Committee On Health Care  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3824

It seems a lot of the oppositional testimony wants to post their interpretation of the Brady "adverse events following trigger point dry needling: a prospective survey of 20000 treatments" article without including the discussion findings. There were also various other studies with misleading statistics out of context with pieces missing to try to prove a point that simply does not exist. If said point did exist they wouldn't need to write it in a misleading way and wouldn't be allowed in 46 other states as the ER would be filled with all of these events they speak of yet they are nowhere to be found. I will copy the discussion section from the article mentioned for people to read for themselves below. Mild adverse events are bruising, bleeding or pain. If we are worried about those we should probably stop all vaccines, having kids floss or anyone doing any form of vigorous exercise due to "mild adverse events", I myself am worried about significant AE's.

Discussion: While mild AEs were very commonly reported in this study of TrP-DN, no significant AEs occurred. For the physiotherapists surveyed, TrP-DN appeared to be a safe treatment.

Stop the fear mongering.