

Submitter: Daniel Espinoza
On Behalf Of:
Committee: senate Committee On Judiciary
Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB243
—Statement Opposing SB243—

My name is Daniel Espinoza, and I am in opposition to SB243. The Supreme Court was clear that FRT's are not machine guns, and they cannot be regulated like a machine gun. The ATF has been given an order to return such FRT's back to the owners. Bump stocks similarly with FRT's are not machine guns.

The second amendment was originally put into place due to a tyrannical government of which the U.S rebelled against. Allowing police officers a greater privilege than a citizens right to these devices will only increase the chance of distrust between the average law abiding citizen and the politically affluent.

In Section 8 and 9 of this proposed bill, it is irresponsible to not let concealed carry holders carry on public property such as schools. Over 90% of mass shootings happen in gun free zones; this is no doubt happening because criminals know there aren't any people with guns in those areas further incentivizing criminals to keep committing mass shootings. While the capitol is protected with armed security other places are not. I ask the proponents of this bill, "how many times have places that have armed guards been attacked compared to places that have no armed guards?" How many people who have a concealed carry license commit school shootings? Let concealed license carriers carry in sensitive areas, and let criminals know there are carriers in those areas. The statistics show that concealed carriers in comparison to police officers get there licenses revoked at a much lower rate.