

Submitter: Fred Justesen  
On Behalf Of: self  
Committee: House Committee On Climate, Energy, and Environment  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3422

Dear Chair, Vice-Chairs, and members of the Committee:

My family has been farming/ranching/stewarding land in Sherman County, Oregon for five (5) generations, going on the 6th (which is being raised on the ranch now).

Our dry land (that is, no irrigation) farming/ranching operation averages around 8-10 inches of precipitation annually. The farm crop we are limited to is soft white winter wheat, farmed on a summer fallow basis (that is, one crop every two years due to lack of moisture in the soil).

The one crop that can be farmed on our land is subject to the vagaries of weather, international conflict, international weather/crop conditions, international trade conflicts, tariffs (or threats thereof), stagnation/decline of commodity price, and skyrocketing costs/inputs of raising the crop. Recent turmoil in the United States government also leads to much uncertainty over our ability to rely on long-standing conservation contracts, crop insurance coverage, and crop subsidies to assist in cushioning the previously stated uncertainties associated with farming in North Central Oregon.

Farm land in Central Oregon is NOT the same as agriculture/farm land in the Western (Willamette Valley) part of Oregon, and it should not be treated the same. The productivity of our North Central Oregon farm land is not the same as the Western side of Oregon (Willamette Valley), our precipitation is not the same, and our ability to diversify our income stream from agriculture is NOT the same as those in other parts of Oregon.

Farm land in Sherman County, Oregon, is uniquely suited to siting of large alternative energy projects due to proximity to existing energy transmission infrastructure, number of annual sunny days, the terrain, and the isolated location far away from population centers.

Additionally, it feels like my property rights are being violated as others are attempting to restrict what I can do, or not do with my land. There are tens of thousands of acres of some of the best farm land in the world, in the midwest, that are used to produce corn for ethanol production. Solar is just another crop to produce energy.

Potential for diversification of our income base and ability to expand income on our family farm operation is crucial to the survival of our family farming operation.

We (my family and I) OPPOSE HB 3422.

We oppose HB 3422 because it represents yet another procedural administrative hurdle and complication in alternative energy siting. This proposed HB 3422 would indiscriminately affect my family's use of our land and all other land East of the Cascade mountains.

We oppose HB 3422 because it would inject even more statewide capricious/uncertain decision making into the energy project siting process. The process does not need more complication. The process does not need to be more burdensome - HB 3422 would create more burden and more complication.

We oppose HB 3422 because it brands our ag/farm land the same as that in other parts of Oregon - that is simply not a fair nor accurate comparison. Our farm land is different and we should not have even more restrictions on the use of our land dictated to us by the legislature on the issue of alternative energy siting.

Thank you for considering my testimony.