

Testimony in Opposition to Proposed Amendments to House Bill HB 3076

19 March 2025

Submitted by: Douglas Baily, 32701 Peoria Road SW, Albany, OR 97330

As a fellow resident of Oregon, I urge you to please OPPOSE the proposed amendments to HB 3076 in your committee.

HB 3076 is clearly an attempt to overburden Oregon small businesses with more fees and requirements on an already highly regulated industry. Sections 2 (3) (a) & (b) are incredibly vague, perhaps by design...

Section 5. (8) give the OR DOJ carte blanche to develop a penalty (fee) schedule without oversight.

Section 5a. (B), (C), & (D) appear to codify mindreading as a requirement. How can purchaser intent be listed here?

Section 6. Creates what may or may not be violations to the provisions of a leased space. The requirements of a 2" diameter deadbolt far exceed the dimensions of a typical commercial occupancy store front. There is no provision for an Adam Rite pivoting lock such as [this](#). As a retired public safety professional I can attest that these locks are hard to defeat but would not meet the requirement of this proposed amendment. (D) seems to give the DOJ more latitude on what qualifies and what does not. Are they equipped to make such rulings?

This bill does nothing to address crime in our state, and only places further burdens on law-abiding business owners in our state. The Legislature should instead seek solutions to hold criminals accountable for their illegal actions.

Again, I urge you to OPPOSE the proposed amendments to HB 3076.

Thank you for all that you do for our state.

With respect,

