

Submitter: Robin Lee
On Behalf Of: myself
Committee: Senate Committee On Natural Resources and
Wildfire
Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB77

I oppose SB 77. What part of PRIVATE in "Private Property" do you legislators fail to understand? You'll see, if you read the submissions, that many farmers have small holdings and need ancillary sources of income to meet their needs. SB 77 in new wording Section 1. (1), (2), and (2.a.) offer logical definitions and limits. BUT thereafter, are too restrictive. This is not China or Russia. Any restrictions necessary for protecting the farmland should be made by the farmer and the local governing bodies (city council, planning commission, etc.). One example of ancillary business was a marriage venue. Another a restaurant featuring food grown on the farm. Another raising/selling lambs. All quite suitable. and contributing to the sustenance and survival of the family and of the farm. And teaching others about a life of farming. There are several small, pleasant such enterprises in Jackson County. Very well cared-for, too. Organized and managed so visitors enjoy the farm and don't destroy it or the surrounding countryside. if they did, neighbors and perhaps the planning commission, would intervene. State's help not required! Keep your hands off! Please VOTE NO on SB 77. Thank you.