

LC 268  
2026 Regular Session  
1/8/26 (HE/ps)

# D R A F T

## SUMMARY

Digest: Directs OTC to create a 10-year CIP. The CIP must include a way to score and rank projects before they are added to the STIP. The Act tells ODOT to report on how the roads are faring. The OTC must add more information to its website. (Flesch Readability Score: 86.9).

Directs the Oregon Transportation Commission to create a 10-year capital investment plan. Provides that the plan must include a scoring methodology to prioritize and rank projects that are included in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program. Specifies requirements for the scoring methodology.

Adds criteria to the list the commission must consider before adding a project to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.

Requires the Department of Transportation to produce a condition report on the highways.

Specifies additional information the commission must include on its website.

## 1 A BILL FOR AN ACT

2 Relating to transportation; creating new provisions; and amending ORS  
3 184.617, 184.621, 184.657, 184.659 and 184.661.

4 **Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:**

5 **SECTION 1.** ORS 184.617 is amended to read:

6 184.617. (1) The Oregon Transportation Commission shall:  
7 (a) Establish the policies for the operation of the Department of Trans-  
8 portation in a manner consistent with the policies and purposes of ORS  
9 184.610 to 184.665.

10 (b) Develop and maintain state transportation policies, including but not  
11 limited to policies related to the management, construction and maintenance  
12 of highways and other transportation systems in Oregon, including but not

**NOTE:** Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter [*italic and bracketed*] is existing law to be omitted.  
New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

1 limited to aviation, ports and rail.

2 (c) Develop and maintain a comprehensive, 20-year long-range plan for a  
3 safe, multimodal transportation system for the state which encompasses  
4 economic efficiency, orderly economic development and environmental qual-  
5 ity. The comprehensive, long-range plan:

6 (A) Must include, but not be limited to, aviation, highways, mass transit,  
7 ports, rails and waterways; and

8 (B) Must be used by all agencies and officers to guide and coordinate  
9 transportation activities and to ensure transportation planning utilizes the  
10 potential of all existing and developing modes of transportation.

11 (d) In coordination with the State Marine Board, the Oregon Business  
12 Development Department, the State Aviation Board, cities, counties, mass  
13 transit districts organized under ORS 267.010 to 267.394 and transportation  
14 districts organized under ORS 267.510 to 267.650, develop plans for each mode  
15 of transportation and multimodal plans for the movement of people and  
16 freight. Subject to paragraph (c) of this subsection, the plans must include  
17 a list of projects needed to maintain and develop the transportation  
18 infrastructure of this state for at least 20 years in the future.

19 (e) For the plans developed under paragraph (d) of this subsection, include  
20 a list of projects for at least 20 years into the future that are capable of  
21 being accomplished using the resources reasonably expected to be available.  
22 As the plans are developed by the commission, the Director of Transportation  
23 shall prepare and submit implementation programs to the commission for  
24 approval. Work approved by the commission to carry out the plans shall be  
25 assigned to the appropriate unit of the Department of Transportation or  
26 other appropriate public body, as defined in ORS 174.109.

27 (f) Initiate studies, as it deems necessary, to guide the director concerning  
28 the transportation needs of Oregon.

29 (g) Prescribe the administrative practices followed by the director in the  
30 performance of any duty imposed on the director by law.

31 (h) Seek to enter into intergovernmental agreements with local govern-

1 ments and local service districts, as those terms are defined in ORS 174.116,  
2 to encourage cooperation between the department and local governments and  
3 local service districts to maximize the efficiency of transportation systems  
4 in Oregon.

5 (i) Review and approve the department's:

6 (A) Proposed transportation projects, as described in the Statewide  
7 Transportation Improvement Program, and any significant transportation  
8 project modifications, as determined by the commission;

9 (B) Proposed budget form prior to the department submitting the form to  
10 the Oregon Department of Administrative Services under ORS 291.208;

11 (C) Anticipated capital construction requirements;

12 (D) Construction priorities; and

13 (E) Selection, vacation or abandonment of state highways.

14 (j) Adopt a statewide transportation strategy on greenhouse gas emissions  
15 to aid in achieving the greenhouse gas emissions reduction goals set forth  
16 in ORS 468A.205. The commission shall focus on reducing greenhouse gas  
17 emissions resulting from transportation. In developing the strategy, the  
18 commission shall consider state and federal programs, policies and incentives  
19 related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The commission shall consult  
20 and cooperate with metropolitan planning organizations, other state agen-  
21 cies, local governments and stakeholders and shall actively solicit public  
22 review and comment in the development of the strategy.

23 (k) Perform any other duty vested in it by law.

24 **(L) Develop and maintain a comprehensive, 10-year capital invest-  
25 ment plan as described in section 3 of this 2026 Act. The plan must  
26 include a scoring methodology to rank projects that are included in  
27 the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.**

28 (2) The commission has general power to take any action necessary to  
29 coordinate and administer programs relating to highways, motor carriers,  
30 motor vehicles, public transit, rail, transportation safety and such other  
31 programs related to transportation.

1       (3) The commission may require the director to furnish whatever reports,  
2 statistics, information or assistance the commission may request in order to  
3 study the department or transportation-related issues.

4       **SECTION 2.** ORS 184.621 is amended to read:

5       184.621. The Oregon Transportation Commission shall work with  
6 stakeholders to review and update the criteria used to select projects within  
7 the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program. When revising the  
8 project selection criteria the commission shall consider whether the project:

9       [(1) *Improves the state highway system or major access routes to the state*  
10 *highway system on the local road system to relieve congestion by expanding*  
11 *capacity, enhancing operations or otherwise improving travel times within*  
12 *high-congestion corridors.]*

13       **(1) Improves the state highway system or major access routes to the**  
14 **state highway system on the local road system to improve travel time**  
15 **reliability and the efficient movement of people and goods in high-**  
16 **congestion corridors, primarily through operational improvements,**  
17 **demand management, multimodal options and, where necessary, stra-**  
18 **tegic capacity additions.**

19       [(2) *Enhances the safety of the traveling public by decreasing traffic crash*  
20 *rates, promoting the efficient movement of people and goods and preserving the*  
21 *public investment in the transportation system.]*

22       **(2) Enhances the safety of all users of the transportation system**  
23 **by decreasing fatalities and serious injuries and preserving the public**  
24 **investment in the transportation system.**

25       (3) Supports improvements necessary for Oregon's economic growth and  
26 competitiveness, accessibility to industries and economic development.

27       (4) Provides the greatest benefit in relation to project costs as analyzed  
28 under ORS 184.659.

29       (5) Fosters livable communities by demonstrating that the investment  
30 does not undermine sustainable urban development.

31       (6) Enhances the value of transportation projects through designs and

1 development that reflect environmental stewardship and community sensi-  
2 tivity.

3 (7) Is consistent with the state's greenhouse gas emissions reduction goals  
4 and reduces Oregon's dependence on foreign oil.

5 (8) To the extent practicable, ensures that the state's transportation  
6 infrastructure is resilient in the event of a natural disaster.

7 (9) Is located near operations conducted for mining aggregate or process-  
8 ing aggregate as described in ORS 215.213 (2)(d) or 215.283 (2)(b).

9 **(10) Preserves and extends the life and utility of prior investments  
10 in the highway system.**

11 **(11) Reduces transportation barriers that significantly impact the  
12 daily lives and independence of vulnerable populations, including pop-  
13 ulations of individuals who are elderly, have a disability or are eco-  
14 nomically disadvantaged.**

15 **(12) Limits impacts to agricultural lands and forest lands by en-  
16 suring that projects are planned and selected in a manner consistent  
17 with the statewide land use planning goals adopted by the Land Con-  
18 servation and Development Commission pursuant to ORS 197.225.**

19 **SECTION 3.** (1) For purposes of this section:

20 (a) **Region one consists of Clackamas, Hood River, Multnomah and  
21 Washington Counties.**

22 (b) **Region two consists of Benton, Clatsop, Columbia, Lane,  
23 Lincoln, Linn, Marion, Polk, Tillamook and Yamhill Counties.**

24 (c) **Region three consists of Coos, Curry, Douglas, Jackson and  
25 Josephine Counties.**

26 (d) **Region four consists of Crook, Deschutes, Gilliam, Jefferson,  
27 Klamath, Lake, Sherman, Wasco and Wheeler Counties.**

28 (e) **Region five consists of Baker, Grant, Harney, Malheur, Morrow,  
29 Umatilla, Union and Wallowa Counties.**

30 (2) **The Oregon Transportation Commission shall develop a 10-year  
31 capital investment plan. The plan must:**

1        (a) Include target goals consistent with ORS 184.621, including, at  
2 a minimum, goals concerning state of good repair, safety, mobility,  
3 accessibility, sustainability, climate and consideration of providing  
4 equitable access to the transportation system;

5        (b) Identify anticipated revenues and other resources reasonably  
6 expected to be available during the 10-year period the plan covers and  
7 describe the assumptions used;

8        (c) Describe the planned distribution of anticipated resources  
9 among the target goals identified in paragraph (a) of this subsection;  
10 and

11        (d) Include the project scoring methodology, weights and decision  
12 matrix adopted under this section.

13        (3) For the purpose of prioritizing projects selected for inclusion in  
14 the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program under ORS  
15 184.621, as part of the capital investment plan the commission shall  
16 devise and adopt rule for a scoring methodology to rank projects in  
17 order of priority within each region. The commission shall include in  
18 the rules:

19        (a) A general prohibition on prioritizing projects that expand high-  
20 way capacity by adding lane miles to the state highway system in a  
21 region ahead of preservation and safety projects for the state highway  
22 system in that region when state-owned pavements or bridges in that  
23 region are below the state of good repair level for the condition targets  
24 adopted under ORS 184.657;

25        (b) The bases upon which exceptions to the general prohibition re-  
26 quired by paragraph (a) of this subsection are authorized;

27        (c) Weights for each of the factors listed in ORS 184.621 that reflect  
28 the target goals adopted by the commission as part of the capital in-  
29 vestment plan, including goals concerning state of good repair, safety,  
30 mobility, accessibility, sustainability, climate and consideration of  
31 providing equitable access to the transportation system;

1       (d) A process for modifying the weights based on the target goals,  
2       unique needs and qualities of each region, in consultation with the  
3       regions and, where applicable, metropolitan planning organizations;

4       (e) A requirement to assign the highest weight for safety projects  
5       and preservation projects;

6       (f) A process for reevaluating the level of priority for a project when  
7       the cost of a project reaches or exceeds \$15 million;

8       (g) A process for assessing the project's consistency with the  
9       statewide transportation strategy on greenhouse gas emissions adopted  
10      under ORS 184.617 (1)(j) and the greenhouse gas emissions reduction  
11      goals set forth in ORS 468A.205, including projected changes in  
12      greenhouse gas emissions and vehicle miles traveled relative to a no-  
13      build alternative; and

14      (h) A requirement that highway capacity expansion projects be  
15      evaluated for their impact on safety and on greenhouse gas emissions  
16      and vehicle miles traveled. A capacity expansion project may not be  
17      prioritized for funding unless the Department of Transportation dem-  
18      onstrates that the capacity expansion project, as compared to a no-  
19      build alternative:

20       (A) Does not increase projected fatalities or serious injuries for all  
21       users of the transportation system and does not increase projected net  
22       greenhouse gas emissions or projected vehicle miles traveled; or

23       (B) Does not increase greenhouse gas emissions or vehicle miles  
24       traveled on a net basis even if the evaluation required by this para-  
25       graph identifies a projected increase in greenhouse gas emissions or  
26       vehicle miles traveled relative to a no-build alternative, as long as the  
27       department includes mitigation measures that are supported by the  
28       cost-benefit analysis conducted under ORS 184.659 and are imple-  
29       mented no later than the date on which the facility is first open to the  
30       general public.

31      (4) The commission shall work with stakeholders, including local

1 area commissions, to review and update the criteria used to score and  
2 rank projects.

3 (5)(a) Based on the rules adopted under subsection (3) of this sec-  
4 tion, the Oregon Transportation Commission shall develop a decision  
5 matrix and create a priority list of projects in each region.

6 (b) The decision matrix and all data inputs, modeling assumptions  
7 and methodologies used to calculate project scores must be publicly  
8 available on the website described in ORS 184.661 at least 30 days be-  
9 fore the date the commission includes the project in the Statewide  
10 Transportation Improvement Program.

11 (6) If the priorities for a region remain below target goals devised  
12 by the commission for two consecutive Statewide Transportation Im-  
13 provement Program cycles, the commission shall, in consultation with  
14 the regions and metropolitan planning organizations, review and ad-  
15 just as necessary the project scoring weights and the distribution of  
16 capital funding among target goals in the next Statewide Transporta-  
17 tion Improvement Program cycle to better align investments with  
18 achieving those target goals and shall describe any adjustments and  
19 the reasons for them in a report posted on the website described in  
20 ORS 184.661.

21 (7) At a minimum, each region's priority list must be reevaluated:

22 (a) Each time the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program  
23 is updated and new projects are added to or removed from the pro-  
24 gram; and

25 (b) When an increase in the costs of a project currently included in  
26 the program causes the project to reach or exceed a cost of \$15 million.

27 (8) This section does not apply to:

28 (a) Emergency work procured under ORS 279B.080 when an emer-  
29 gency exists as defined in ORS 279A.010; or

30 (b) Repairs undertaken under ORS 366.445.

31 **SECTION 4.** ORS 184.657 is amended to read:

1 184.657. (1) The Oregon Transportation Commission shall develop a set  
2 of uniform standards, in coordination with counties and cities, for the con-  
3 sistent description and reporting of the condition of the transportation  
4 infrastructure owned by the state, counties and cities. The infrastructure  
5 described must include pavement and bridges.

6 (2) By February 1 of each odd-numbered year, **the Department of**  
7 **Transportation and** every city and county shall submit a report covering  
8 the condition of its transportation infrastructure.

9 (3) The commission shall periodically review the condition of the trans-  
10 portation infrastructure owned by the state and the reports submitted under  
11 this section. The commission shall post the reports and the commission's  
12 review of the reports on the website described in ORS 184.661.

13 **(4) The commission shall by rule define "state of good repair" for**  
14 **pavement and bridges consistent with federal performance measures**  
15 **and the department's transportation asset management plan and shall**  
16 **adopt statewide condition targets for pavement and bridges based on**  
17 **the commission's definition of "state of good repair."**

18 [(4)] (5) Notwithstanding ORS 366.762 to 366.768 or 366.785 to 366.820, any  
19 city or county failing to file a report under this section may not receive any  
20 payments from the State Highway Fund until the report is filed.

21 [(5)] (6) Not later than June 1 of each odd-numbered year, the commission  
22 shall submit a report about the state of the transportation infrastructure of  
23 Oregon, including the transportation infrastructure of cities and counties,  
24 to:

25 (a) The Legislative Assembly in the manner provided by ORS 192.245; and  
26 (b) The Joint Committee on Transportation established under ORS  
27 171.858.

28 **SECTION 5.** ORS 184.661 is amended to read:

29 184.661. (1) The Oregon Transportation Commission, through the Depart-  
30 ment of Transportation, shall develop a website.

31 (2) The website must include:

1       (a) A list of all transportation projects in the Statewide Transportation  
2 Improvement Program and for each project the website must include:  
3           (A) A description of the project and the project benefits;  
4           (B) The estimated cost and estimated completion date;  
5           **(C) The project's rank on the priority list developed under section**  
6 **3 of this 2026 Act, the project's overall score and the project's score**  
7 **for each factor used in the scoring methodology;**  
8           [(C)] **(D)** Updated information about the projects as they proceed, in-  
9 cluding the actual amount spent to date on the project; and  
10          [(D)] **(E)** After a project is completed, updated information, including the  
11 amount a project is under or over the original estimated cost and whether  
12 a project was completed by the original estimated completion date.  
13       (b) Information on the reports required under ORS 366.774 and 366.790 for  
14 all cities with a population of 5,000 or greater and all counties in the state,  
15 including the amount of transportation funds collected by each county and  
16 city and the source of the funds and the amount of money spent on trans-  
17 portation projects by type of expenditure as listed in ORS 366.774 (2) and  
18 366.790 (2). This information shall be displayed for the most current six-year  
19 period.  
20       (c) Information on the condition of Oregon's transportation  
21 infrastructure, as required under ORS 184.657.  
22       (d) Information about the results the audits performed pursuant to ORS  
23 184.639.  
24       (e) Links to all available county and city transportation project websites.  
25       (f) Links to websites about transportation projects receiving moneys from  
26 the Connect Oregon Fund.  
27       **(g) Information about the decision matrix developed under section**  
28 **3 of this 2026 Act and any changes to the target goals for each region.**  
29       **(h) The analysis completed by the commission after it approves a**  
30 **project for which the cost-benefit analysis under ORS 184.659 shows**  
31 **that expected costs exceed expected benefits.**

1       **(i) Information about the capital investment plan, including all re-**  
2       **quired components as described in section 3 of this 2026 Act and a**  
3       **narrative summary of:**

4       **(A) Progress made toward the target goals adopted in the capital**  
5       **investment plan, including goals concerning state of good repair,**  
6       **safety, mobility, accessibility, sustainability, climate and providing**  
7       **equitable access to the transportation system;**

8       **(B) A comparison of planned versus actual project costs, schedules**  
9       **and scope for projects included in the Statewide Transportation Im-**  
10      **provement Program;**

11      **(C) An evaluation of whether projects included in the Statewide**  
12      **Transportation Improvement Program overall are achieving the out-**  
13      **comes reflected in the project scoring and prioritization adopted under**  
14      **section 3 of this 2026 Act;**

15      **(D) Identification of material deviations from the capital invest-**  
16      **ment plan, project priority lists, or target goals, and an explanation**  
17      **of the reasons for those deviations; and**

18      **(E) Any recommended changes to policies, target goals, scoring**  
19      **weights or investment strategies to improve performance in future**  
20      **programming cycles.**

21      **SECTION 6.** ORS 184.659 is amended to read:

22      184.659. (1) As used in this section, “transportation project” means a  
23      project:

24      (a) That is a highway modernization transportation project or capacity  
25      building transportation project proposed for construction in the Statewide  
26      Transportation Improvement Program; and

27      (b) That is estimated to cost at least \$15 million.

28      (2) As a part of the project scoping phase, the Department of Transpor-  
29      tation shall prepare a written analysis of the costs and benefits of a trans-  
30      portation project. The analysis must state:

31      (a) The scope of the project;

- 1 (b) The period of analysis;
- 2 (c) The discount rate used in the analysis;
- 3 (d) The estimated costs to the department to undertake the project, in-
- 4 cluding any costs for design, purchasing highway right-of-way and con-
- 5 struction;
- 6 (e) The future costs to the department to preserve and maintain the
- 7 project, discounted to present value;
- 8 (f) Any other costs to the department;
- 9 (g) The costs to highway users that are associated with the project, in-
- 10 cluding loss of safety, delays in the time of travel and additional expenses
- 11 for operating vehicles;
- 12 (h) The costs of any environmental impacts, including vehicle emissions
- 13 and noise **over the life of the project; [and]**
- 14 (i) **The opportunity costs of undertaking the project; and**
- 15 [(i)] (j) The value of the benefits of the project, including the value of
- 16 any:
  - 17 (A) Savings in the time of travel, **including immediately after the date**
  - 18 **of project completion and long-term savings in the time of travel 10**
  - 19 **and 30 years after the date of project completion;**
  - 20 (B) Improvements to safety;
  - 21 (C) Savings in the cost of operating vehicles; and
  - 22 (D) Other social, economic or environmental benefits of the project.
- 23 (3) The analysis required by this section:
  - 24 (a) Must include a discussion of increases in costs that would result from
  - 25 delays in the performance of routine maintenance scheduled by the depart-
  - 26 ment;
  - 27 (b) May include a discussion of:
    - 28 (A) The costs of the project for any other persons and governmental
    - 29 agencies; and
    - 30 (B) Any costs or benefits which may result from the use of alternative
    - 31 design, construction or financing practices; and

1       (c) Must be prepared in a format that allows for the comparison of pro-  
2 posed transportation projects.

3       (4) The analysis required by this section must be made available to the  
4 [commission] **Oregon Transportation Commission** and **made publicly**  
5 **available on the website described in ORS 184.661** [*the public*] when the  
6 agenda is posted for the meeting at which the proposal will be submitted to  
7 the commission for its approval.

8       (5) This section does not apply to transportation projects listed in ORS  
9 367.095 or **383.150** or section 71d or 71f, chapter 750, Oregon Laws 2017.

10      **(6) When approving a transportation project, the commission shall**  
11 **consider the results of the analysis and make a finding as to whether**  
12 **the project's expected benefits equal or exceed its expected costs. If the**  
13 **commission approves a project for which the analysis shows that ex-**  
14 **pected costs exceed expected benefits, the commission shall adopt a**  
15 **written explanation of the reasons for proceeding and publish the ex-**  
16 **planation on its website under ORS 184.661.**

17      **(7) Notwithstanding any other provision of law:**

18       **(a) The commission may not approve or include in the Statewide**  
19 **Transportation Improvement Program a transportation project unless**  
20 **the commission has completed and made publicly available the cost-**  
21 **benefit analysis described in subsection (2) of this section at least 30**  
22 **days before the meeting at which the commission approves or includes**  
23 **the project in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.**

24       **(b) If a transportation project is approved in violation of paragraph**  
25 **(a) of this subsection, the department may not obligate state moneys,**  
26 **or proceed to final design, right of way acquisition or construction, for**  
27 **the project until the commission complies with this section.**

28      **SECTION 7.** (1) Section 3 of this 2026 Act and amendments to ORS  
29 184.621, 184.657 and 184.661 by sections 2, 4 and 5 of this 2026 Act apply  
30 to projects included in the Statewide Transportation Improvement  
31 Program on or after the effective date of this 2026 Act.

1       **(2) A project included in an adopted Statewide Transportation Im-**  
2       **provement Program before the effective date of this 2026 Act is subject**  
3       **to the requirements in section 3 of this 2026 Act only if, after the ef-**  
4       **fective date of this 2026 Act, the commission approves an increase in**  
5       **the project's estimated costs that causes the project to reach or exceed**  
6       **a cost of \$15 million.**

7       \_\_\_\_\_