

Submitter: Blake Moser
On Behalf Of: Life
Committee: Senate Committee On Rules
Measure: SJR33

Dear Senate Committee On Rules,

Please take into consideration the following: Consensus should be the aim of legislation, especially on this highly divisive topic. Many surveys show that most people are not in support of late term elective abortion or elective abortion after the baby can survive outside of the mother's womb. Surveys also show that life of the mother abortions should be kept legal through entirety of pregnancy. SJR 33 appears to be backed by those with pro-abortion views that are not in alignment with the general population. Restated: Legislatures pushing through legislation that is not representative of constituents is not in keeping with the job they have been entrusted with. We see this in Republican led states where anti-abortion legislation is being passed that is more restrictive than the population agrees with. SJR 33 is the opposite, Democrats passing a pro-abortion law that is less restrictive than what the majority of voters agree with.

Legislations should be a cycle of consensus/compromise and comprehension/developing understanding of changing circumstances; Restated: We the voters have varying opinions based on experience and education and legislatures should represent voters by coming to agreements that represent the majority regarding how our government should govern. Democratic governments should not legislate so as to appease those with less common views. The gap between what the voters want and what the voters get in regards to legislation creates friction that is cause for concern for the safety of all involved.

Please pass legislation that is representative of the majority of voters and keep the legislation malleable so as conditions change legislation can stay in keeping with the wants of the voters.

Thank you for your time.

Your Sincere and Peaceful Oregonian,

Blake D. Moser