

SJR 33 is unwise for the future of healthcare in Oregon. I love my state and have loved my practice as a physician here for over 30 years. The majority of Oregonians believe there needs to be some regulation for abortion and gender care. To enshrine in the constitution these controversial medical and healthcare practices, is ill advised and politically motivated as opposed to concern for our Oregon patients. Desistance of gender dysphoria for those individuals prepuberty is 73-94 % in various research articles, even listed with WPATH. So we need more research and studies to care for these individuals long term. You are promoting healthcare that has subsequent problems to all sorts of medical issues, including musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, endocrine, reproductive, and mental. Why would you promote something that has such long term ill effects? The same holds true for abortion. Why is it consider healthcare to perform an abortion at full term? Why does the short length of the birth canal make this a choice for health. There is not an instance in which that is healthy for the mother. If life saving measures are needed for the mother, the baby will be delivered with the hope of saving the baby as well. Women who have had an abortion experience mental health issues 60-70 % at 10 year follow up. Is this addressed in informed consent? Please do make a constitutional change for these healthcare issues when 1. There are significant adverse consequences for the individual 2. The majority of Oregonians believe there needs to be greater regulations.

Brick Lantz MD