Submitter: Donna Torres

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Judiciary

Measure: HB3390

Dear Representative Gamba, Representative Nosse and House Committee on Judiciary.

I STRONGLY OPPOSE HB 3390 for the following reasons:

- 1. HB 3390 would criminalize the sale or trade of fur products in Oregon, making it a Class A misdemeanor.
- 2. There are exceptions for leather, cowhide, lambskin, and deerskin, as well as for taxidermy.
- 3. There is also an exception for fur products intended for tribal uses.
- 4. The language in Section (1) is both morality-based and elitist, using language such as cruel and humane with no context or basis.
- 5. The carve out for leather signals that this is not about animal-welfare but rather a hit piece against trapping.
- 6. The move to criminalize and ban fur products is elitist and marginalizes communities that rely on natural products such as fur and animal hides.
- 7. The criminalization of natural fur products raises the question of whether the environmental trade-offs associated with synthetic fibers is tenable.
- 8. Oregonians would be limited in their ability to purchase fur products, either from individuals or retail sales, including hats made from beaver.
- 9. The fly-fishing industry would be greatly impacted by the bill. Pelts and hides, such as elk, are commonly purchased by individuals and companies to produce flies.
- 10. It should not be criminal to utilize all parts of an animal, as required by the wonton waste laws. If a sportsman takes an elk and has it made into a taxidermies partial mount, the remaining hide should be able to be used for purposes such as flytying.

For these reasons and more, I STRONGLY urge all Oregonians to stand up and OPPOSE this bill.