

Submitter: Heather Redwine-Walter  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary  
Measure: SB348

I completely oppose SB 348.

Besides for disagreeing with the entire idea of SB 348 which is an amplification of measure 114, I disagree with the practice of having placeholder bills to stuff other bills into (gut and stuff). I feel I have to mention this because when you gut and stuff a bill you do not let the public have the proper amount of time to voice their opinion to the committee, representatives and senators.

Now specifically to SB 348,

I object to all aspects of Measure 114.

I object to raising the fees, this will disproportionately affect people who have a lower income, this will not be equitable.

I object to the emergency clause, there is no emergency.

I object to backdating the prohibition on magazines that hold more than 10 rounds, why would you want to go after people that legally bought or sold something? This is unacceptable.

In the amendment you have increased the time it may take to get your permit to purchase from 30 to 60 days, I object to this as well. I object to the permit to purchase completely you should take this whole section out.

I object to raising the minimum age to purchase.

I object to a 72 hour waiting period.

It appears what you want to do is make it take months until someone has permission to purchase a firearm, then (at the rate its going with background check at purchase) wait two months before the second background check is completed (redundant) and then after everything is finally approved make them wait 72 more hours. I would call all this waiting an infringement on our rights to bear arms

Please remember criminals don't follow laws, so these laws will do nothing to stop crime.

Don't drag Oregon into more lawsuits, Say no to SB 348.