

March 26, 2023

To: Chairman Prozanski and members of the Committee  
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

From: David Wiley  
Sublimity, Oregon

Subject: Testimony in Opposition to SB 348

I am very strongly opposed to SB 348 as amended.

SB 348 does nothing to impact criminal use of firearms. Criminals will not obey SB 348 if in acted.

There is no logical nexus between what SB 348 proposes and the reduction in criminal use of firearms.

**SB 348 does attack the law-abiding citizens** who obeys the law.

SB 348 violates the *ex post facto* clause of the **US Constitution** in that it makes ownership of large capacity cartridge magazines for firearms illegal at a date prior to the date the bill would become law.

SB 348 permit requirements adversely impact and may prohibit the citizens US Constitutional right under the **2nd Amendment** personally to keep and bear arms. Additionally, SB 348's exorbitantly high permit fees act as a *poll tax* likely infringing on a segment of the citizenry's capacity to exercise their 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment right to possess a firearm. The US Supreme Court struck down use of the Poll Taxes over a century ago.

SB 348 is hypocritical in its prohibition of firearms ownership by young adults between 18 and 21 years of age. It is hypocritical because at age 18 a person can vote and enlist in the military service to fight for our country and possibly die for it. And by the way, the 18-year-old had been driving a car for 2 years!

SB 348 will have a very adverse impact on the citizenry wishing to engage in a heritage activity of hunting, which will find its way to the economy through reduction in sale of sporting goods, arms, ammunition, hunting trips that drive local economies, etc.

SB 348 will equate to fewer hunters and means less state revenue to fund wildlife management and conservation. Hunting license and tag fees and federal excise tax on arms and ammunition which are returned to the state under the Pittman-Robertson Act both combine to fund virtually all wildlife management in Oregon. In Oregon hunting is conservation.

SB 348 is bad for Oregon in so many ways.

**Please vote NO on SB 348 and let the bill die in committee.**

s/Dave Wiley