

Submitter: Cathy Weed
On Behalf Of:
Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary
Measure: SB348

I wish to register my strenuous objection to SB 348. My first objection is on the grounds that you have gone around the issue of the unconstitutionality of Measure 114, and have put the provisions of Measure 114 into SB 348, which will make the court decision regarding Measure 114 moot. As you are aware, this is a very underhanded way to operate, and serves only to decrease our already-miniscule trust of your ability to properly represent ALL the people of Oregon, not just those in the Portland Metro area. My second objection is based on our nation's Constitution, and the RIGHT to bear arms, as outlined in the 2nd Amendment. You are not allowed to infringe the RIGHT to bear arms. Period. Thirdly, the size of any given magazine should be considered a non-issue. It only takes one bullet to harm/kill another person, if that is what is intended, so the other nine bullets in the magazine become irrelevant. And, I'm going to assume that you understand that the criminals won't give a darn about your law and your limitations; they will have all the (illegal) weaponry and ammunition they want. You are placing law-abiding citizens at a distinct disadvantage if a "situation" arises where a gun must be used. Finally, the cost, the permitting process, the waiting period...all completely unconstitutional, and designed solely to discourage the citizens from even starting the process. Our streets and cities will NOT be safer with more regulation. You know this already, but have ceded your common sense to "group-think". Wake up!