

I honestly am at a loss as to how the Oregon politicians who come up with these hair brained ideas such as HB 2005, 2006 and 2007 are still voted into office. Yes I oppose these measures.

Let's forget the fact that these alleged 'safety' measures will do nothing to curb crime and violence. In light of SCOTUS decisions from Heller to McDonald and recently Bruen, these bills do Not pass the Constitutional test.

But yet it seems as if the Oregon democrats pushing this agenda don't care and are continuing to push these Rights Violating measures.

I listened in to the stream last night for awhile and most of what I heard was fear, emotion, projection and people trying to build up their alleged 'expertise' proudly claiming to be part of multiple groups whose basic premise for existing is the 'common sense' Rights Violating measures they and oregon politicians are trying to force into law.

I fail to see how 'common sense' is violating your oath of office for any politician who pushes this Unconstitutional pile.

Or how 'common sense' it is to push for laws that actually do nothing to stop crime or stop criminals from committing crime.

It would be more 'common sense' to make lazy DA's actually charge criminals with laws that have been on the books For Years instead of this soft on crime policy for the last 3 plus years.

In this country we are allowed to make our own firearms. Serial number or lack thereof does not prevent or stop crime, nor is there any history of such.

Discrimination of adults because they have not reached some fantasy safe age is blatantly Unconstitutional. Also violates other Oregon laws. At 17, yes 17, myself and others were in Georgia going through basic training using full automatics, select fire weapons and various other explosives. So it's ok to send young men and women into combat to risk their lives but you are claiming it's ok to discriminate against their Rights because..... ..?. And this is 'common sense?'

How many statistics do you have to ignore to try and claim another law is needed to let every tom dick and harry jurisdiction make their own rules on firearms possession including concealed handgun license holders? This achieves nothing but patchwork laws all over.

Do you really think there is a problem with CHL holders? I'm a CHLI holder and I've been paid to protect politicians, schools, students, youth, etc for many years. But all of a sudden myself and others with CHL's are being treated as 2nd class citizens.

And this is 'common sense?'