Submitter: Joshua Vader

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Judiciary

Measure: HB2005

Building your own firearm(s) have long been a hobby and profession in the USA since our very first days.

My main problem with banning "Ghost guns" is that they are NOT "undetectable" as every firearm requires a barrel, and that barrel must be made of metal, and the very minimum.

Any standard Glock and most modern pistols are already manufactured with polymer frames, and 3d printed guns are just the same - they all still require a metal barrel at the bare minimum; For the cartridge ("bullet" or round) to fire, the primer must be pierced; the primer is encased in a sealed brass disk, so the firing pin must also be made of a harder material than brass.

The fact that our AG Rosenblum freely disseminates disinformation specifically about "ghost guns" worries me about her agenda; Before M114 passed in November, I never thought, considering how little impact these kind of laws have on crime, that Oregon would end up in this situation but it does seem clear that there is a multi-state effort to remove the rights of US citizens. And when AG Rosenblum decides that her own laws have had no effect on all the crimes committed with ghost guns in Oregon, do you think she will work to rescind them, or do you believe she will continue to remove more of our rights?

Like many others who grew up in Oregon, I grew up shooting guns and after I joined the military, I bought my first firearm at 19 years old. I absolutely voted for the safe storage law because THAT is common sense; These 3 bills being pushed right now are not common sense; there is no line from cause to effect which will result in any change in crime (though it will likely make MANY legal firearms owners into felons, which I believe to be the true purpose of the current anti-rights push; if they were concerned about crime, these laws would also apply to off-duty and retired law enforcement)