

Submitter: Jason Ball
On Behalf Of: Jason Ball
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary
Measure: HB2007

Absolutely absurd to think that Concealed Carry holders need to be limited any further. Concealed Carry holders are the additional defense against violent criminals. I realize that some believe that we as a society should not be able to protect ourselves; that is a government and police job. The police, as great and hard working as they are, could usually never respond quickly enough to stop an assailant. The last thing I would want is to be unarmed in a gun fight. Helpless to defend myself and others. I am willing and able to defend others. I am clear headed and calm in tense situations and feel confident I can protect myself and others if I am allowed to Carry Concealed without boundaries. Frankly this should be a Federal Right under the Second Amendment which shall never be repealed nor infringed. Think I sound like a "crazy conservative" - check you bias. Oregon's Concealed Holders are some of the most legal and best vetted firearms holders in Oregon. Where did anyone get the idea and moreover, data. that proves Concealed Carry needs to be legislated further? It appears to be rooted in fear and Socialism because there is no real data to back it up.

For fear - Some believe that it is the government and police that should possess the ability to defend against attackers. I wonder who they would feel if they or their family were being attacked and no one had the ability to help them. I bet it would feel like this bill was wrong completely and they would give anything to undo the damage inflicted upon them or their loved ones. Some Concealed Carry holders may or may not be trained as well as Police or others in the field of law and firearms competency. The data shows that even with the training Police receive, shooting accuracy goes down to around 60% in high tension events. So is that dangerous to the general public, maybe a little but it's better than having no defense against someone illegally intending to inflict harm.

For Socialism - it a matter of the ability to control the population. By disarming the public others get the ability to take control. I would say go read your history books, but I fear that some already did, and they are following it like a play book.

An armed society broke this country away from tyranny. Armed citizens shall keep it safe from all its enemies trying to infringe upon freedom.

Criminals don't typically attack people who they perceive can beat them. As a society we must stand up together and get out of this constant fear paradigm. We must enable an unstoppable force against a relentless and growing criminal enemy. They grow because we allow it - we even enable it. We don't have a death penalty anymore, we don't give stiff sentences to early offenders, we don't encourage widespread lawful possession and use of firearms. We are breeding sheep in a

wolf's den.

Ask most any police officer if they appreciate Conceal carry Holders - especially at a traffic stop. The resounding answer is yes, and they like to know they are dealing with one. Ask them if they think Concealed Carry should be legislated further. Their answer is a resounding no. Take a look as the failures of increased legislation in regard to anything firearms related in the big cities across the US and contrast that against other countries where EVERYONE is trained and armed = almost no direct crime.