TO: Chair Kroph, Co-Chair Wallin & Members of the House Committee on Judiciary Oregon State Capitol, Salem, OR 97301

FROM: Laurie Kimmell, MSgt, USAF (Ret.)

SUBJECT: Argument Against HB 2005, 2006, 2007 & Public Testimony Usurped

ATTENTION: FOR ALL REVIEWING AUTHORITIES

Since when are (3) anti-Second Amendment bills scheduled for their first reading just ahead of the legislative deadline? This is another example of waiting to the last moment, so you can get these three bills past the deadline. To rush these bills through the first reading, your limiting all testimony, most of which will be against these bills to one, two-minute testimony? This public hearing is a sham and is not in the spirit of the public hearing model. This practice of jamming (3) bills in a single hearing shows the lack of transparency and usurps the citizens right for an equitable public hearing. We already know the outcome of the public hearing; all three bills will move forward.

- 1. <u>HB 2005</u> is just another attempt to remove all firearms from law abiding citizens. It punishes collectors and families that have passed down firearms for generations. Serial numbers on firearms were not mandatory until, the Federal Gun Control Act of 1968.
- 2. <u>HB 2006 –</u> doesn't prescribe any care solution for mental illness. 9th Circuit struck down a portion <u>Ninth Circuit Strikes Down California's Under 21 Gun Law (lawandcrime.com)</u>.
- 3. <u>HB 2007</u> is a carryover from *SB554*. It makes it difficult for law abiding citizens to carry with a permit & defines a hospital as a public building (when they are private except OHSU). It creates a different set of rules for each building making it difficult for Oregonians to know which rules apply for *private and/or public* buildings. If Oregonians have a concealed carry permit then you should be allowed to legally carry anywhere in the state. Concealed permits are already vetted by the county Sheriff.

Thank you,

//SIGNED//

LAURIE KIMMELL, MSgt, USAF (Ret.)