

March 21, 2023

House Committee on Housing and Homelessness Oregon State Capitol Salem, OR 97301

## Re: Opposition to House Bill 3569

Dear Honorable Chair Dexter and Members of the Committee:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on HB 3569.

On behalf of the Happy Valley City Council, I would like to express our strong opposition to HB 3569. Communities across our state are facing a housing crisis; however, preemptive legislation such as HB 3569 will stifle the ability of local governments to achieve our shared interests and produce unintended consequences.

Happy Valley has been one of the fastest growing communities in Oregon for nearly two decades. Since 2000, the City's population has quadrupled. Just in the last 15 years, the City added 5,656 new housing units, 2,033 of which were multi-family. Through years working in a fast-growing community, the City has refined our local permitting process to be one of the quickest and most responsive in the Portland area.

Looking to the future, the City recently approved a comprehensive plan update for 2,700 acres of the former Damascus area. That area is expected to add 7,500 new households with a near 50/50 split of single-family and multi-family/attached units.

While the City understands the housing crisis, our job as elected officials is to balance the many competing interests within our community. We pride ourselves not on being one of the fastest growing communities, but doing so in a way that builds complete neighborhoods, balancing the impacts of growth with environmental stewardship and community livability.

Below highlight the City's specific concerns with HB 3569.

## **Prevents Public Involvement**

Top-down approaches can produce conflicts between state goals. Notably, HB 3569 is counter to the very pillar of Oregon's unique land use system: Goal 1, Citizen Involvement. The provisions of HB 3569 supersede local zoning and development standards that were developed by gathering input from community members and implemented by volunteer Planning Commissioners and the elected City Council. The proposal effectively eliminates local design, siting, and density standards.

## Doesn't Address the Housing Problem

HB 3569 doesn't address the true barriers to accommodating more housing. As mentioned earlier, the City expects over 7,500 new housing units to be built once adequate infrastructure is in place. The most significant impediments are the cost of public infrastructure to serve those sites and the high cost of land in the metro area. Neither of which are addressed by HB 3569.

As a City Council, we ask the Governor and Legislative Assembly to see us as equal partners in the implementation of our common interests. Our team does the work every day of creating an

environment that supports more housing units at all income levels. We work tirelessly to build a diverse and equitable community that will thrive for decades to come. Please, partner with us to continue this work, rather than forcing us to spend our efforts implementing new bureaucratic measures that don't solve the problem.

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns.

Tom Ellis

Mayor