

Submitter: Kolleen Bennett
On Behalf Of:
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary
Measure: HB2006

It appears writers of this law are confusing the definition of adult. Consider making an informed consistent decision; if it's 18, then all adult rights and privileges should be applied. If it's 21, then asking an 18 year old to serve in our military is wrong. Consider 16 as well, as it's been bantered that 16 year olds are 'mature' enough to to vote; if so then are they not mature enough to responsibly handle a weapon, maybe even serve our country in the military? If these writers of this proposed bill actually researched child development, they may discover that 21 to 25 is the age of full development. If we are asking our youth to serve in our military at the age of 18, I believe they are welcomed to being young adults.

Reasons for 18-25 year olds entering the military is a topic for another conversation.