Dear members of the House Committee on Rules.

I am writing to express my opposition to Oregon House Bill 2004, which proposes the implementation of ranked-choice voting (RCV) for all statewide elections. While the goal of the bill is to improve our democratic process, I believe that implementing RCV would be a step in the wrong direction.

One of the main concerns with RCV is its complexity. RCV requires voters to rank candidates in order of preference, which can be confusing and time-consuming for many voters. This is especially true in any contest with many candidates, resulting in an exponentially large ballot that resembles a Sudoku puzzle. Here's an example from a mayoral election from the other Portland:

	Official Ballot General Municipal Election Portland, Maine November 8, 2011															
A. To vote, complete the B. Rank Candidates for C. To vote for a write-in c D. If you make a mistake	May	or it	n on te, v	der vrite	of c	t to	you ce,	ur cl see	noic spe	e(s)	), lik	e th	nis:	ns b	pelov	
For Mayor Four Year Term (Rank candidates in order of choice)		/	10	13	18	10	10	101	101	101	100	15	31	13	wal (	per <u>candidate.</u>
Bragdon, Charles E.	ragdon, Charles E.	n	n	า												
Brennan, Michael F.	ĬŎ	lõ	ĬŎ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	ĬŎ	ŏ	ŏ	善	ĕ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Special Instructions: Rank Candidates
Bryant, Peter G. 8 Back Cove Estates #18	ĬŎ	Ιŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	ā	ğ	Ŏ	Õ	ñ	ŏ	for Mayor in order of choice.
Carmona, Ralph C.	ĬŎ	lo	ĬŎ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ă	ă	ğ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	Fill in the first oval to the right of your
Oodge, Richard A.	Ĭň	ĬŎ	ĬŎ	ñ	ñ	ñ	ñ	ñ	ň	ñ	ň	ň	ñ	ň	ň	1st choice candidate. Fill in the second oval to the right of your 2nd choice candidate. Continue until you have ranked all of your choices. You may rank as many or as few candidates as you wish. Fill in no more than one oval per candidate. Fill in no more than one oval per column.
Duson, Jill C.	ĬŎ	ň	ň	ñ	ň	ñ	ñ	ň	Ŏ	TO	ĕ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ň	
der, John M.	ĬŎ	Ĭň	tă	ň	ă	ñ	ň	ň	0	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ň	ň	ň	
łaadoow, Hamza A. Coest Lane	ĬŎ	ň	ħ	ň	ñ	ň	ň	ň	ň	ă	K	ň	ň	ň	ň	
apchick, Jodie L.	Ĭň	Ĭň	Ĭň	ĭŏ	ň	ň	ň	ñ	ň	0	ă	ň	ň	ň	ň	
Marshall, David A.	Ĭň	Ĭň	Ĭň	ň	ň	ñ	ň	ñ	ň	ñ	ñ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	
Aavodones, Nicholas M. Jr.	Ĭň	Ĭň	Ĭň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ĭň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ñ	ň	
9 Chenery Street Miller, Markos S. 7 Attantic Street	Ĭň	Iň	Ĭň	ĭň	ř	ř	ĭň	n	ň	ň	ň	ř	ň	ň	ň	
Rathband, Jed	Tŏ	Ĭŏ	m	ř	ř	ň	ñ	K	ř	ň	ŏ	ň	6	ň	ñ	
trimling, Ethan K.	Ĭň	ĭň	lŏ	ň	ř	ř	K	ř	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	6	ň	Soldmin
11 Spring Street fail, Christopher L. 119 Washington Avenue	Ιň	Ĭň	t∺	ř	ň	ř	H	K	K	H	ň	H	H	n	H	
119 Washington Avenue Write-in	m	Ĭň	m	ř	ř	ň	ň	ř	ř	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	ň	
Witte-in	19	10	10	10	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	U	Ų.	V	Ų.	I
		PL	ΕA	SE	C	ON	ITI	NU	ΕV	0	ΓIN	G	ON	0	ТНЕ	ER SIDE

Any vertical or horizontal line will result in this being a spoiled ballot with RCV.

In contrast, the STAR (Score Then Automatic Runoff) voting system is much simpler and more intuitive. With STAR voting, voters simply rate each candidate on a scale of 0 to 5, and the candidate with the highest average score is automatically entered into a runoff with the candidate who received the second-highest score. Signatures are being gathered to put STAR on the ballot via petition 2024-011.

Another issue with RCV is that it can lead to strategic voting. Voters may feel compelled to rank a less-preferred candidate higher in order to prevent their least-preferred candidate from winning. This can be especially problematic in elections with more than two candidates, where the outcomes can be unpredictable and difficult to anticipate (see: the recent Alaska election). STAR voting avoids this issue by allowing voters to give each candidate a score that reflects their true level of support, including, when appropriate, the same level of support.

In conclusion, while I appreciate the goal of improving our democratic process, I do not believe that implementing ranked-choice voting is the best way to achieve this goal. I urge you not to move HB 2004 forward and let petition 2024-011 bring STAR to voters.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Damien Erlund 5729 SE 46<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland Oregon