

Submitter: Jacob Anderson

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Business and Labor

Measure: HB2008

To the House committee for consideration, Thank you for the opportunity.

In recent years I came across a problem in the post litigation of a payment agreement in family matters. The story begins in the DHS initiations and then is transferred to the Circuit Court. From my understanding of exemption, if both parties propose documents stating it is to be paid by specific locations or means, under sworn affidavit and declarations, it would be a judicial context honor the most recent court orders and not automatically initiate garnishment proceeding. This covers ORS 25.414 but also, given the factual assumption or change which legislation provides, would clerically revoke and reprimand actions I saw in the DHS Office trying to inform them as a Civil Litigator working on my own behalf. The proper understanding would be that DHS when proposed to the Circuit Court, is inferior due to appellate procedures listed in administrative order <https://www.doj.state.or.us/child-support/resources/administrative-hearings-process/>

"A parent may file an appeal in Oregon Circuit Court.

If a parent disagrees with the final decision, the parent may appeal the child support order by filing a petition for review in a circuit court within 60 days after the Child Support Program files the order in court. Parents may want to contact the court or consult with an attorney. See Get Legal Help for more information."

Respectfully I request clarification noted from the Legislative committee in respect to Allowed property(Currency) to be seized. I attempted to mitigate the property removal once by allowed means in ORS and was still criminally convicted with the allowed Uses of Force. The victims state employees acting out of allowed conduct per ORS and Procedures.

-Jacob Daniel Anderson
West Salem Resident
(503) 551-6909