



OFFICERS

President Sonja MoKenzie Parkrose SD

President-elect Sami Al-Abdrabbuh Corvetts 50

Vice President Chris Cronin Grant ESD

Secretary-Treasurer Bill Graupp Morth Merton 5D

Past President Scott Rogers Altera-Weston 50

DIRECTORS

Kraig Albright Daylon SD

Ashley Carson Cottingham

Jackie Crock South Court ESD

Miriam Cummins Line Baston Lincoln ESD

Katrina Doughty

Susan Greenberg

Neelam Gupta Oregon School Board Menters of Color Cescus

Linda Hamilton Late ESD

Kris Howatt Greatern-Berkey SD

Greg Kintz Vernosie SD

Erika Lopez Missbaro SD Patti Norris

Crock County SD Chrissy Reitz

Hood River County SD Emily Smith

Halix SD

Lori Theros Klamati Falts City Schools

Dawn Watson Phonsty-Televil SD

Maureen Wolf Northeat Regional ESD

EX-OFFICIO DIRECTORS

COSA/CASE Craig Hawkins

OAESD JIII Conant OCCA Kim Morgan

State Board of Education Guadalupe Martinez

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Jim Green

To: Senate Committee on Finance and Revenue

From: Richard Donovan, Legislative Services Specialist

Re: Senate Bill 858 Date: March 7, 2023

Chair Meek, Vice Chair Boquist, and members of the committee:

On behalf of the OSBA membership, including 197 school districts and 19 Education Service Districts, thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony in opposition to Senate Bill 858.

Senate Bill 858 would put in statute the ability of a community to create a special "children's district." These districts would have responsibilities to benefit children though non-educational programs and could raise money through local taxes.

OSBA opposes SB 858 because of the potential negative impact on school district revenue, in particular on districts that have enacted local option levies. Because of Oregon's unique local property system, local revenue that is collected as part of Oregon's <u>school funding system is counted</u> on one side "of the ledger" of total funding allocations.

Adding a local revenue requirement, such as a children's district levy, would impact, or compress, the ability of all other local governments in that area to continue with their levies. This compression could mean that, if enacted today, some school districts that currently rely on local levies to fund investments in teachers and other services could have their ability to collect those revenues reduced.

These are challenging time for children in Oregon. And while the intention of the proponents of SB 858 is likely good, it is time for a statewide approach to increased education funding, not a different way to slice up local option availability.

Please oppose SB 858.