To: Joint Committee on Semiconductors and Legislative Leadership
From: Jeanne Roy, 6805 SW 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave, Portland, OR 97219
Date: March 3, 2023
Subject: SB 4
I appreciate the difficulty you are facing in seeking potential sites for the semiconductor industry. However, as a longtime supporter of our land use planning laws, I object to the parts of SB 4 that would bring land outside existing UGBs into UGBs:

- Section 10 that would allow Oregon's governor to bring multiple sites (including up to two sites if one is over 500 acres) into a UGB by executive order.
- Section 11 that would remove land brought into a UGB under the statute unless one of two conditions has been met by 2027: (1) the land hasn't been substantially developed or (2) the local government has subsequently incorporated the land into the urban growth boundary. There is no requirement that the land actually be used for semiconductor facilities.

These measures would

- Overlook existing industrially zoned sites inside UGBs that cities have already designated and incorporated into their economic development strategies.
- Irreversibly pave over land currently used for food, fiber, and recreation.
- Contribute to increased sources for carbon, air, and water pollution caused by sprawling outward onto our natural and working lands.

I urge your committee to shift SB 4's focus from finding land outside our cities and towns to inside cities and towns.

