



1120 SW Fifth Ave, Suite 1331, Portland OR 97204
Phone: 503-823-4000 Portland.gov/Transportation

Mingus Mapps Commissioner **Tara Wasiak** Interim Director

March 1, 2023

Senator Floyd Prozanski, Chair
Senate Committee on Judiciary
900 Court Street, NE
Salem, OR 97301

Dear Chair Prozanski and Members of the Committee:

As in previous legislative sessions, the City of Portland Bureau of Transportation is concerned about the safety of motorcycle lane-splitting and opposes Senate Bill 422. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the bill.

Portland is a Vision Zero city committed to eliminating traffic deaths and serious injuries on our streets. We continue to see motorcyclists disproportionately killed in traffic crashes. Over the past five years, 46 motorcyclists have died in Portland, accounting for 17% of Portland's traffic deaths. Across the U.S., the [National Highway Transportation Safety Administration \(NHTSA\)](#) found that "motorcyclists were about 28 times more likely than passenger vehicle occupants to die in a motor vehicle crash and were 4 times more likely to be injured" in 2020 (the most recent year for which national crash data is available). With motorcyclists already disproportionately involved in the most serious crashes, lane-splitting would increase potential conflicts between motorcyclists and drivers.

While the lane-splitting parameters in SB 422 are narrow, we are concerned that the practice of lane-splitting would spill onto city streets. A UC Berkeley study, [Motorcycle Lane-splitting and Safety in California \(2015\)](#), found that 17% of motorcycle crashes in California involved lane splitting during the 14-month study period. It also found that of the lane-splitting crashes, motorcyclists rear-ended other vehicles in 38.4% of the crashes, compared to non-lane-splitting crashes where motorcyclists rear-ended other vehicles in 15.7% of the crashes. In addition, in 2021, France found a 12% increase in motorcycle crashes that occurred during a five-year lane-splitting trial. Conditions allowed for lane-splitting in California and France are different than conditions outlined in SB 422, but the studies still raise concerns about the potential for increased crashes in Oregon.



It is the policy of the City of Portland that no person shall be excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination in any city program, service, or activity on the grounds of race, color, national origin, disability, or other protected class status. Adhering to Civil Rights Title VI and ADA Title II civil rights laws, the City of Portland ensures meaningful access to City programs, services, and activities by reasonably providing: translation and interpretation, modifications, accommodations, alternative formats, and auxiliary aids and services. To request these services, contact the Portland Bureau of Transportation at 311 (503-823-4000), for Relay Service & TTY: 711.

Beyond safety, two additional arguments made in support of lane-splitting are congestion relief and environmental benefits. In fact, motorcycles make up a very small percentage of vehicles in the Portland metro area and there is no peer-reviewed published research that lane-splitting reduces congestion. A [lane-splitting trial in New South Wales](#), Australia found no congestion relief when lane-splitting was allowed, despite 4% of trips being made by motorcycle. By comparison, the [percentage of motorcycles on Portland area freeways is less than one-half of one percent](#). Further, the potential for increased crashes due to lane-splitting could actually increase congestion. In a highway crash, it can take hours for traffic flow to recover due to collision response, investigation, and clearing the crash site. Despite motorcycles achieving high gas mileage (on average 50-80 miles per gallon), they have [mixed impact on air quality](#). With high fuel efficiency, motorcycles emit less carbon dioxide than the average car, however greater amounts of VOCs (volatile organic compounds) and carbon monoxide. Trading one type of pollutant for another does not meaningfully advance our city or state environmental goals. Before advancing this new policy, we recommend ODOT study the congestion and air quality benefits and impacts of legalized lane-splitting.

Based on research, crash data and on-the-ground knowledge, the Portland Bureau of Transportation remains concerned about the safety impacts, and lack of air quality or congestion benefits, that would come with legalizing lane-splitting in Oregon.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tara Wasiak".

Tara Wasiak, Interim Director
Portland Bureau of Transportation