February 10, 2023

The Honorable Rev. Dr. Deb Patterson Chair, Oregon State Senate Committee on Health Care

The Honorable Cedric Hayden Vice Chair, Oregon State Senate Committee on Health Care

Dear Senator Patterson and Senator Hayden,

On behalf of AMN Healthcare Language Services and the Oregon LEP (Limited English Proficiency) and Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing community, I am writing to oppose Oregon Senate Bill 584 and the negative consequences that the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) would impose on these patients.

While I agree with improving the pay rates to Oregon's health care interpreters, and improving the quality of patient care, the bill as written would trigger several unintended consequences for Oregonian patients due to the OHA's lack of funding, insufficient language coverage, and lack of remote interpretation capabilities.

- Insufficient Language Coverage In 2021, more than 2 million health care interpreting encounters occurred for Oregonian patients, in more than 150 languages, in every county of the State. At present the Oregon registry of interpreters covers fewer than 40 languages. Simply put, there are not enough interpreters in Oregon to provide all the health care interpreting that is required by federal law.
- Longer Average Length of Hospital Stay and Higher Readmission Rates current evidence^{1,2} has found that <u>patients with limited English proficiency (LEP) who do not have adequate access to language interpretation have longer length of stays by 1.5 days on average. Recent evidence also found that those same patients were 1.6x more likely to be readmitted within 30 days.</u>
- Incapacity to Handle Emergent Interpretation Needs Over reliance on on-site interpretation, which requires medical encounters to be planned and scheduled ahead of time, will leave the health systems without the ability to respond to emergency medical encounters with LEP patients, that by nature, are unplanned and unscheduled. Conversely, many of the Language Service Providers (LSPs) such as our company, AMN Healthcare, (which would be rendered essentially inaccessible to health systems by bill 584) provide medically qualified remote interpreters within 30 seconds of activation.
- Loss of Privacy Insufficient language coverage and lack of remote interpretation services results in a reliance of a select few "local" interpreters. In small or rural communities, this gives rise to potential conflicts of interest and privacy issues. A patient may be reticent to speak freely in front of a known community member working as an interpreter, and this phenomenon has been repeatedly noted in health care settings nationwide.
- Inability to scale services with rising language access needs—In the last decade alone, the number of Oregonians that speak a foreign language at home rose 22 percent³. The unpredictable nature of the geo-political and economic landscape that drive relocation and immigration make it nearly impossible for any single entity to sufficiently to predict language

access needs and thus be able to employ, train, and deploy enough interpreters for every language.

Bill 584 places the burden of fulfilling the essentially unfunded federal mandate of language access squarely on the shoulders of the OHA. The bill's amendments will leave the OHA without the recourse of sharing this burden with other LSPs, who have the decades of experience and the national interpreter base necessary to consistently meet the ever-fluctuating language access needs across the state.

For these reasons, we implore you to oppose Bill 584 and its amendments.

Sincerely,

Sandra Park

Sant Fol

Sources

- 1 Lindholm, M., Hargraves, J. L., Ferguson, W. J., & Reed, G. (2012). Professional language interpretation and inpatient length of stay and readmission rates. Journal of general internal medicine, 27(10), 1294–1299. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-012-2041-5
- 2 Hickey, S. (2019, August 28). Healthcare Interpreting: Impact on Lengths of Stay and Readmission Rate. Nimdzi. https://www.nimdzi.com/healthcare-interpreting-impact-on-lengths-of-stay-and-readmission-rate/
- 3 Zeigler, K., & Camarota, S. (2019, October). 67.3 Million in the United States Spoke a Foreign Language at Home in 2018. CIS.org. https://cis.org/Report/673-Million-United-States-Spoke-Foreign-Language-Home-2018