

23 January 2023

Senate Committee on Housing and Development

Re: Senate Bill 496

Dear Chair Senator Jama, Vice-Chair Senator Anderson and members Senators Patterson and Sollman,

I am opposed to the passage of SB 496.

If a person has a pet they need to take full and complete responsibility for it which includes all monetary liabilities.

There must be an end to this apparently ever-expanding list of categories of persons who allegedly require state tax dollars.

Continuously amending previous legislature to accommodate every problem people encounter in life is not productive.

Continuously handing out state money for every problem in life people encounter does not promote taking care of oneself. It promotes being dependent on the state which, I often think, is the goal of some legislators.

Sincerely,



Richard Wisner