A-Engrossed Senate Bill 807

Ordered by the Senate March 27 Including Senate Amendments dated March 27

Sponsored by COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure.

Provides procedure whereby elected judge may challenge **party**, attorney, law firm, district attorney's office, **defense consortium** or public defender's office that files motions to disqualify judge [*with such frequency as to*] **that** effectively deny judge assignment to criminal or juvenile de-linquency docket.

1

A BILL FOR AN ACT

2 Relating to disqualification of judges; amending ORS 14.260.

3 Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

4 **SECTION 1.** ORS 14.260 is amended to read:

 $\mathbf{5}$ 14.260. (1) Any party to or any attorney appearing in any cause, matter or proceeding in a circuit court may establish the belief described in ORS 14.250 by motion supported by affidavit that the 6 party or attorney believes that the party or attorney cannot have a fair and impartial trial or 7 hearing before the judge, and that it is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay. Except 8 as provided in subsection (7) of this section, no specific grounds for the belief need be alleged. 9 10 The motion shall be allowed unless the judge moved against, or the presiding judge for the judicial 11 district, challenges the good faith of the affiant and sets forth the basis of the challenge. In the event of a challenge, a hearing shall be held before a disinterested judge. The burden of proof is 12 on the challenging judge to establish that the motion was made in bad faith or for the purposes of 13 delay. 14

(2) The affidavit shall be filed with the motion at any time prior to final determination of the cause, matter or proceedings in uncontested cases, and in contested cases before or within five days after the cause, matter or proceeding is at issue upon a question of fact or within 10 days after the assignment, appointment and qualification or election and assumption of office of another judge to preside over the cause, matter or proceeding.

(3) A motion to disqualify a judge may not be made after the judge has ruled upon any petition,
demurrer or motion other than a motion to extend time in the cause, matter or proceeding. A motion
to disqualify a judge or a judge pro tem, assigned by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court to serve
in a county other than the county in which the judge or judge pro tem resides may not be filed more
than five days after the party or attorney appearing in the cause receives notice of the assignment.
(4) In judicial districts having a population of 200,000 or more, the affidavit and motion for
change of judge shall be made at the time and in the manner prescribed in ORS 14.270.

27 (5) In judicial districts having a population of 100,000 or more, but less than 200,000, the affi-

1 davit and motion for change of judge shall be made at the time and in the manner prescribed in ORS

2 14.270 unless the circuit court makes local rules under ORS 3.220 adopting the procedure described

3 in this section.

4 (6) A party or attorney may not make more than two applications in any cause, matter or pro-5 ceeding under this section.

(7) If a party, attorney, law firm, district attorney's office, defense consortium or public 6 defender's office files a motion or series of motions under subsection (1) of this section or 7 8 ORS 14.270 against an elected judge that effectively denies the judge assignment to a criminal 9 or juvenile delinquency docket in any county within the judge's judicial district, the judge moved against may request a hearing before a disinterested judge. The disinterested judge 10 shall make an objective inquiry as to whether a reasonable person knowing all the facts and 11 12 surrounding circumstances would believe by a preponderance of evidence that the judge lacks impartiality. The burden of proof is on the party, attorney, law firm, district attorney's of-13 fice, defense consortium or public defender's office filing the motion under subsection (1) of 14 15 this section or ORS 14.270. If the inquiry establishes that a reasonable person would believe 16the judge lacks impartiality, the motion shall be granted. If the inquiry does not establish that a reasonable person would believe the judge lacks impartiality, the disinterested judge 17 18 shall take appropriate action, which may include an order preventing the party, attorney, 19 firm, office or consortium from filing a motion or series of motions under subsection (1) of 20this section or ORS 14.270 against the judge for a period of up to one year. The Chief Justice 21may issue rules to implement this subsection. 22

[2]