



## Member Discussion Topics (Revised)

August 9, 2024

### Continuing Discussion from Prior Meeting

1. Are there any experts, stakeholders, organizations, or practitioners who you think would be particularly useful for the Task Force to hear presentations from related to the four legislative directives (eligibility metrics, accountability mechanisms, funding mechanism, funding and administrative balance)?
2. There was some discussion of current challenges to treatment court implementation, including the biennial grant cycle complicating treatment provider contracts, the funding need for training, and incompatible data systems. Are there any other such obstacles that currently exist in your experience with treatment courts that you would like the Task Force to discuss or address?
3. Is there any other topic discussed in the prior meeting that you would like to discuss further or comment on?

### Member Discussion on Eligibility Metrics

Statutory Directive: **“Determining whether specialty courts currently use the right eligibility metrics, including whether current metrics are accurately capturing those individuals who would benefit from a drug court program.”** HB 4001 (2024).

1. Do Oregon’s specialty courts currently use the right eligibility metrics? What are the right eligibility metrics?
2. Do current metrics accurately capture those individuals who would benefit from a drug court program?
3. Should statewide modifications be made through legislation or other mechanisms to Oregon’s eligibility metrics standards and practices?
4. How would you summarize the purpose of eligibility metrics in specialty courts?
5. What factors may result in variability of how eligibility metrics are applied in Oregon’s specialty courts?
6. What recommendations would you like the Task Force to consider related to the eligibility metrics directive?