

Submitter: Jenneke DeJong

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Business and Labor

Measure: HB4002

I farm in Klamath County. We operate a dairy farm and employ 75 employees, many of whom have worked for us for over 10 years. I am concerned about the impact that ag overtime would have on my farm and employees. I do everything I can to compensate employees fairly, but as a farmer, I am a price taker. This means that I cannot increase the sale price of the milk when labor costs increase. Consumers in the global commodity market set our prices. Any added costs will have to be absorbed by my family's farm. That's the economic reality for those of us in agriculture.

A dairy farm is labor intensive and his bill will likely cost me 750,000per year in new labor costs that I can't afford. To remain in business, I will be forced to limit the number of hours that employees work to 40 hours per week, mechanize or move to another state to avoid the added expense of overtime pay. I do not want to do this, but that is the practical reality.

Farmers have been clear about the consequences of this policy, but I'm also worried that farm employees will see their paychecks reduced or jobs cut if overtime pay is required after 40-hours.

As a family business, we can't operate at a loss year-over-year. Mandating overtime after 40-hours demands wages that are not possible with the economics of agriculture and will result in reduced pay and opportunities for farm employees. Only seven states have adopted ag overtime policies, and most have crafted policies that ensure that local farms can remain viable and that employees' jobs and paychecks are protected. Several states have adopted policies to meet seasonal needs and others established higher overtime thresholds that help avoid some of the worst consequences.

As someone who stands to lose their family business, I urge you to oppose an overtime mandate at 40-hours.