

Portland: Neighbors Welcome is a pro-housing, pro-tenant grassroots membership organization dedicated to housing as a human right. We advocate for expanded housing options for people who are struggling to afford housing, along with commonsense protections for tenants.

We're writing in strong support of HB 2558, though we feel its scope is much too small.

It should shock and offend Oregonians that there is *anywhere* within walking distance of our high-capacity transit stations where it's illegal to build modest homes for middle-class and working-class people. In fact, many Oregonians *are* shocked to learn this. The arcane language of exclusionary zoning, carefully crafted by the well-housed and well-to-do, hides this ridiculous fact from many of us.

Many Oregonians would also find it clearly ridiculous that cities would even think about building new high-capacity transit to an area while banning lower-cost housing nearby. **These bans on lower-cost housing types are also de facto bans on regulated-affordable housing.** To build high-quality transit without allowing affordable housing to exist nearby accelerates displacement, turning transit-connected neighborhoods into playgrounds for the wealthy rather than supportive communities for working people.

Opponents of this bill made several arguments on Thursday:

- The League of Cities argued that there is no rush to allow housing, and that cities are overwhelmed dealing with the consequences of the pandemic. What, they ask, is the emergency? 15 minutes later, legislators heard testimony from Oregonians suffering due to the rising home prices that are the result of 20 years of underbuilding lower-cost housing. Oregon would face a far smaller housing emergency today if it had lifted bans on middle-class and working-class housing 20 years ago. Oregon should act quickly to protect against future housing emergencies.
- The Lane Transit District argued that this bill would interfere with its ability to run transit lines into low-density areas, because of future complaints from homeowners. If this were to happen, homeowners would demand public investment to benefit the few, without support to benefit the many. If LTD, Eugene, and Springfield feel the complaints of homeowners are more important than preventing displacement, they should plan to build their future transit systems without help from the state.
- The City of Eugene argued that the bill is unnecessary because it is already working on similar plans. **This claim is incompatible with Lane Transit District above.** Either anti-housing homeowners will successfully oppose housing near new high-capacity



transit lines, or they won't. If they succeed, then transit lines would have little public benefit and should not be built.

The proposed 1/8 mile is just a 2-minute walk away; practically inside the station. A better bill would extend the radius and allow for larger buildings, especially for projects that create below-market homes. A 1/4 mile is just a 5-minute walk away or 1/3 mile is a 7-minute walk away - either of these would be stronger, more impactful options. That said, this bill would improve on the status quo.

Portland: Neighbors Welcome strongly support good public transit. Part of making transit good is ensuring people of all incomes can live near it. Please support HB 2558.