

YOUR WEBSITE DOES NOT ALLOW TEXT AS IS AND IS NOT USER FRIENDLY OR INTUITIVE: SB554 is unconstitutionally arbitrary, ambiguous, absurd, and abusive in view of *McDonald v. City of Chicago* regarding the Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendments of the US Constitution. The principles it violates apply to States and cities as well as the Federal government. In addition it violates the Oregon Constitution, Article 1, Sections 20,26,27, and 33. It would give more privileges and immunities in one jurisdiction than to others. While the question remains as to whether right to bear arms is not unlimited and gun ownership can continue to be regulated, the concealed handgun license addresses all concerns of public safety.

The following you do not or cannot restrict in public buildings, are legal to possess, and we cannot protect ourselves or others from when you deny us arms:

- 1.A four pound dead blow hammer which, in an overhand swing, generates more energy than a .380 ACP firearm.
- 2.A 12 inch screwdriver which generates more penetration than a snub nosed .357 magnum revolver.

3. A utility knife/box cutter which can create a larger wound point-blank than a 12 gauge shotgun.
4. A bottle of gas and oil used for a molotov cocktail to kill dozens in a crowd.
5. A bomb made from gunpowder. It can be ordered and delivered to a door without a signature.
6. A bomb made from acetone peroxide explosive manufactured from muriatic acid, hydrogen peroxide, and acetone purchased as cleaners from the Home Depot, if not the pharmacy section of Walmart.

Under the Oregon Revised Statutes, for license holders, deadly force is justified only when undertaken to prevent imminent and otherwise unavoidable danger of death or grave bodily harm to the innocent. For those who are incapacitated by age, health, stature, or disability, restraint of a felon is not an alternative to firearms. **The courts require you to give an accounting of your assessment of the ability, opportunity, and intention of an aggressor necessary to cause serious or lethal harm; *just like any member of law enforcement.***

Members of the Legislature are not required to be fingerprinted for a criminal record check and not

have been adjudicated for mental illness. Yet the Legislature, by its action or inaction, justifies the taking of life with capital punishment in a situation which is neither imminent; nor unavoidable.

The legislature takes lives when they can be convicted criminals or insane. They are less qualified and justified than a concealed handgun license holder to do the same.

Terrorism, according to 18 U.S. Code § 2331 is an act which appears to be intended to intimidate or coerce a civilian population; to influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion. This coerces law abiding citizens, only. Self defense is an inalienable self-evident natural right you are violating, as well as the Oregon Constitution.

These repeated attempts every session to deny our inalienable natural and civil right to self-defense by all means necessary is a form of terrorism.

Even members of the military and police are more likely to take a life illegally or outside of the scope of their employment than holders of concealed handgun licenses. You multiply this abuse by requiring an understanding of the local laws of 241 Cities and 36 Counties. You would be more justified disarming the police while training, arming

and certifying every sane law-abiding responsible adult citizen to protect the public safety.

This legislature is ruled by DINO's; members who are Democratic In Name Only. "Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just Powers from the Consent of the Governed," it is said. Democracy is rule with the consent of the governed; not the rulers.

It is obvious you are rulers rather than the governed because of your privileges and immunities. There is no democracy without a referendum. It is immoral, irresponsible, and unethical involuntary collectivism.

It is terrorism when we cannot trust you to seek our consent. You know if these statutes were subjected to a referendum it would be rejected by the voters based on its vague and ambiguous wording alone.

Each term you conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate every person in this State in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to them by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

Natural rights are inherent in people. Humans, when they form a social compact are equal in right. All power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority, and instituted for their peace, safety, and happiness.

The people, not its rulers, have at all times a right to alter, reform, or abolish the government in such manner as they may think proper.

The Oregon Governor and Legislature have shown they are not responsible or competent to enforce or make laws protecting our welfare without the consent of the governed. At this point, you may be making unavoidable an initiative to take the powers you abuse from you. If you cannot restrain your powers, the courts and the people will abolish your powers.

My favorite Liberal said:

This, sir, is my great objection to the Constitution, that there is no true responsibility—and that the preservation of our liberty depends on the single chance of men being virtuous enough to make laws to punish themselves.... Show me that age and country where the rights and liberties of the people were placed on the sole chance

of their rulers being good men, without a consequent loss of liberty?...Suspicion is a virtue as long as its object is the public good, and as long as it stays within proper bounds. ... Guard with jealous attention the public liberty. Suspect every one who approaches that jewel.