

I testified live on behalf of 2929 and Amendment 4. I'd like to add a couple important points raised by individuals who testified after me: 1) A member of a Law Enforcement union testified against the bill saying that allowing the public to be aware of "traffic tickets" received by LEOs is somehow a violation of privacy. Officers are literally responsible for enforcing the law. It is incredibly relevant to members of the public whether the people enforcing the law are themselves violating the very laws they are supposed to be enforcing. That said, nothing in this amendment suggests that this information would be published unless disciplinary action is taken against said officer 2) Another individual implied that anonymous complaints will be published under this Amendment, tarnishing officers' reputation. Nothing in this amendment suggests that unsupported, anonymous complaints will be published, only disciplinary actions as taken after thorough investigation and disciplinary proceeding.

The implications made by both of these individuals are, at best, an indication of a fundamental misunderstanding of the amendment as written, and at worst incredibly disingenuous and disrespectful towards the committees time and intelligence.