

Dear Legislators,

Like you, I'm very concerned about the welfare of Oregonians as well as the practices of alternative and complimentary health care practices. While I applaud the Oregon legislature for working hard during these difficult times, I have the following serious concerns about HB 2394:

1. A similar bill was introduced in 2017 and was tabled because there was a huge outcry against it — because we don't want or need more regulations and an oversight board.
2. The bill labels the current situation as an emergency situation and threat to public safety. But there has been no documentation that there has been a public safety threat. The public can already make informed decisions for who they seek out - research the better business bureau, google, reviews and such. AND, there are laws in place to protect consumers. Practitioners are already required to carry insurance to practice, which also covers legal concerns. Hypnotists and coaches are also held to high ethical standards, registration and practices by their own governing boards and associations.
3. Complementary and alternative healers don't practice medicine or mental health counseling and should not be regulated in the same way as medical and mental that counseling is regulated by professional boards. Most of us spend a great deal of time training initially and also spend a lot of time in continuing education.
4. It is not in an alternative healthcare provider's job description to assess, diagnose or treat a mental, emotional, or behavioral disorder.
5. This bill takes away the right of Oregonians to choose their own healthcare providers.
6. The definition of alternative well-being provider is too vague. Care givers, certain types of ministries, and other professions fall under the given definition. You've basically implied anyone ever being of help to another in any form.
7. Too many professions that have no relationship with each other have been lumped together into one category.
8. Alternative health care providers have no say as to who is to govern them.
9. There is no provision to put alternative healthcare providers on the governing boards.
10. The passage of this bill would put many Oregon practitioners out of work during difficult times with extra fees and regulations (which haven't even clearly been defined)
11. We don't want to face this every few years – and have a better approach that 11 other states use as their model of legislation. Its formal name is the Oregon Consumer Access and right to Practice Complementary and Alternative Health Care Act. (Working name: Safe Harbor Exemption for short) Many other states are introducing or preparing to introduce this. It has guardrails that practitioners need to stay within and includes disclosure about what training and experience a practitioner has. If a practice/practitioner violates these, then there is legal recourse with laws that already are in place.
12. The bill itself is too vague, unnecessary and will restrict free trade.
13. It's listed as a "voluntary" registry, and we all know it isn't or won't be. It has additional vague terminology, implying that regulations and such will be chosen and adopted, with no clarity as to what exactly is being agreed to. For all we know, all credentials an individual has would be nullified unless someone is a license therapist (which is clearly the direction you are heading) - which then would put many out of business - restricting trade and preventing people from legally making an income.
12. What is also concerning to me is that it seems this bill in effect would discriminate against any religious practices that are non-christian. This bill would severely limit Oregonians access to spiritual practices and their right to freedom in how they choose to practice their faith, and discriminates against smaller religions and spiritual practices by holding alternative practitioners to a different standard than priests, rabbis, etc.

Renee Terrill