

CHouse Bill 2493

Dear Legislative Assembly

First of all my thanks in taking energy work seriously. I've read the proposed bill and while most of my fellow energy workers oppose it entirely, I embrace it as a step in the right direction. A step towards inclusion of energy work alongside western medical practices.

But, I must stress the wording of "alternative" is too divisive in that it separates the approaches to a patient's health. Energy work is definitely more of a "supplemental" to western medical practice than an alternative. I don't know if the wording was intentional or just not knowing how the energy work works.

In Section 3 item 1, speaking of the timeframe and how it must be agreed upon by client and practitioner. The amount of sessions can be agreed upon, but to state "after \_\_ sessions you'll be fine" isn't possible, and isn't ethical. The work just doesn't happen like that. In truth the practitioner is channeling, and while they are intending, energy/divinity is doing all the work. One person might be fine after 3 sessions or so, and another after 6-7.

Section 5 addresses an important issue, thank you! But, it should also include wording about the clients sexual advances towards the practitioner.

The biggest fear among energy workers is government over reach and intrusion into something they have zero knowledge about. Common sense regulations that protect the client as well as the practitioner, for the sole purpose of helping the client towards a healthier life should be the goal.